

Continuous Spin Mean-Field models: Limiting kernels and Gibbs Properties of local transforms

Christof Külske ^{*} and Alex A. Opoku[†]

June 5, 2008

Abstract

We extend the notion of Gibbsianness for mean-field systems to the set-up of general (possibly continuous) local state spaces. We investigate the Gibbs properties of systems arising from an initial mean-field Gibbs measure by application of given local transition kernels. This generalizes previous case-studies made for spins taking finitely many values to the first step in direction to a general theory, containing the following parts: (1) A formula for the limiting conditional probability distributions of the transformed system. It holds both in the Gibbs and non-Gibbs regime and invokes a minimization problem for a "constrained rate-function". (2) A criterion for Gibbsianness of the transformed system for initial Lipschitz-Hamiltonians involving concentration properties of the transition kernels. (3) A continuity estimate for the single-site conditional distributions of the transformed system. While (2) and (3) have provable lattice-counterparts, the characterization of (1) is stronger in mean-field. As applications we show short-time Gibbsianness of rotator mean-field models on the $(q - 1)$ -dimensional sphere under diffusive time-evolution and the preservation of Gibbsianness under local coarse-graining of the initial local spin space.

AMS 2000 subject classification: 82B20, 82B26, 60K35.

Keywords: Gibbs measures, non-Gibbsian measures, mean-field systems, continuous spins, two-layer systems, large deviation principle, consistent probability measures.

^{*}University of Groningen, Institute of Mathematics and Computing Science, Postbus 407, 9700 AK Groningen, The Netherlands, kuelske@math.rug.nl, <http://www.math.rug.nl/~kuelske/>

[†]University of Groningen, Department of Mathematics and Computing Science, Postbus 407, 9700 AK Groningen, The Netherlands, A.opoku@math.rug.nl

1 Introduction

The study of the (failure of the) Gibbs property is a source of interesting probability theory and is linked to the study of phase-transitions. Gibbs measures and generalized Gibbs measures are of interest not only on the lattice, but on more general structures. Examples of such structures are random graphs, or, in the simplest conceivable case, the complete graph, where the models are called mean-field models.

The Gibbs property of a given measure should be viewed as a continuity property of conditional probabilities as a function of the conditioning. When one tries to prove or disprove this property for a measure obtained by an application of a deterministic or stochastic transformation from a well-understood initial measure one is led to a constrained (or "quenched") problem, with "quenched impurities" that are induced by the conditioning. This introduces a "random" (or in better words constrained) system that we need to understand [10], and this ties the problem to disordered systems and statistical mechanics on random structures.

It was through rigorous implementation of Renormalization Group transformations that it was discovered that images of Gibbs measures can be non-Gibbs [10, 18, 19, 14]. After this discovery, there has been an interest in recent times in particular in the study of the loss and possible recovery of the Gibbs property of an initial Gibbs measure under a stochastic time-evolution. The study started in [9] where the authors focused on the evolution of an initial Gibbs measure of a lattice spin Ising model under high-temperature spin-flip Glauber dynamics. The main phenomenon observed here was the loss of the Gibbs property after a certain transition time when the system was started from an initial low temperature state. The measure stays non-Gibbs forever when the initial external field is zero. More complicated transitions between Gibbs and non-Gibbs are possible at intermediate times when there is no spin-flip symmetry. The case of site-wise independent diffusions of continuous spins on the lattice starting from the Gibbs-measure of a special double-well potential was considered in [26], exhibiting similarities and differences to the Ising case. In [11] the authors studied models for continuous compact spins, namely the planar rotor models on the circle subjected to diffusive time-evolution. It is shown therein that starting with an initial low-temperature Gibbs measure, the time-evolved measure obtained for infinite- or high-temperature dynamics stays Gibbs for short times and for the corresponding initial infinite- or high-temperature Gibbs measure under infinite- or high-temperature dynamics, the time-evolved measure stays Gibbs forever. Their analysis uses the machinery of cluster expansions, as earlier developed in [4]. Even before it was shown that the whole process of space-time histories can be viewed as a Gibbs measure [5] which however does not imply that fixed-time projections are Gibbs.

Let us move from concrete examples to the elements of a general theory which have been proved so far. In [24] the preservation of the Gibbs property for compact (discrete and continuous) spin models for general initial interactions (having a finite "triple-norm") subjected to general site-wise transformations is studied. The technique employed therein is Dobrushin uniqueness [6, 17], which is quite robust and gives rise to explicit estimates. We obtained both quantitative estimates on the parameter regimes where Gibbsianness provably holds and, as the main new part, explicit continuity estimates for the conditional probabilities of the transformed system.

As an example it is shown therein that starting with an initial Gibbs measure of a rotator spin model on the $(q - 1)$ -dimensional sphere ($q \geq 2$) and performing site-wise

independent diffusive time-evolutions, the Gibbs property is preserved in an explicitly computable time-interval starting from zero. Similar conclusions were drawn for Gibbs measures for general initial interactions (with compact metric local spin-spaces) subjected to a local coarse-graining transformation. (Given a decomposition of the local state-space S into countably many sets, the corresponding local coarse-graining is the map that associates to any point in S the label of the corresponding set in the decomposition.) Here the Gibbs property is preserved whenever the diameter of the largest set in the decomposition is small enough. Roughly speaking, this result can be seen as stability of Gibbsianness under application of a ball of sufficiently fine local transformations of coarse-graining type.

In a related line of research, transforms of initial Gibbs measures for various mean-field models were investigated. A variety of measures has been found to be non-Gibbs [25, 23, 20] in the mean-field sense. Usually the analysis of such systems shows parallels to what can be done on the lattice but goes much further. We remark that in all the cases studied so far, mean-field spins that take finitely many values had been considered, and a unifying treatment including discrete and continuous spins had been lacking. For state of the art reviews on Gibbsianness and non-Gibbsianness we refer the reader to [10, 12].

Now, in this note we present a systematic investigation of the Gibbs property of mean-field measures subjected to local kernels. We are out to extend previous results on spins taking finitely many values to general possibly continuous (but compact) spins. More mathematical care is needed since we consider distributions of empirical measures taking values in an infinite-dimensional space. So, let us provide an informal roadmap of the present paper now, leaving the precise definitions and statements of the theorems to the main body.

What are the initial measures we are dealing with? We start in Section 2 by defining a class of interactions $\Phi(\nu)$ as functions on empirical measures ν of the system. The corresponding mean-field Hamiltonian in a volume of size N is $N\Phi(\nu)$. The densities of the finite-volume Gibbs-measures w.r.t. an a-priori product measure α in volume N are given in terms of the normalized exponential $\frac{1}{Z_N} \exp(-N\Phi(\nu))$.

The first decision to be made is to find an appropriate notion of regularity of allowed interactions Φ . It turns out here that the natural requirement (the suitable mean-field analogue of the standard notion of absolute summability for interactions on the lattice) is that of continuous differentiability (in the space of measures on the single-site configuration space).

Next, we define the notion of mean-field Gibbsianness of a model which is given in terms of the sequence of its finite-volume measures, by looking at large-volume limits of single-site conditional distributions obtained from this. This procedure provides us with a kernel $\gamma_1(d\sigma_i|\nu)$ where ν is the empirical measure of a configuration in the conditioning. The model is called Gibbs if every ν is a continuity point of γ (for the weak topology). This is a natural generalization from the discrete spin-examples where this notion had been introduced and investigated before. From this definition it is also apparent that the regularity requirement on the interaction made above is natural since it implies Gibbsianness of the initial system (see more on this below Definition 3.5).

The situation is easier (and thus amenable to analysis) for mean-field models than for lattice models, since a configuration in the conditioning is replaced by a measure ν on the local spin space, and it is just one single-site kernel that captures the large-volume behavior.

In Section 3 we turn to the main focus of the paper, namely two-layer models, obtained by applying a stochastic kernel, independently over the sites, to the initial model. A complete analysis of the Ising model in mean-field under stochastic site-wise independent time-evolution has been given in [25], showing the emergence of non-Gibbsianness at sharp critical times and a phenomenon called symmetry-breaking in the set of bad configurations. More examples are found in [23, 20]. At first we develop the general theory which relates our desired object, the large-system limiting conditional distribution of the transformed system, to a variational problem. In this part no specific assumptions (other than continuous differentiability of the initial potential) will be made on the model. The results hold in regions of the parameter space of the interaction where both Gibbsianness and non-Gibbsianness can occur. In the non-Gibbsian regime however we have to stay away from the specific critical values of the conditionings for which non-unique global minimizers occur. For the convenience of the reader we briefly review some background material on large deviations we will use for our analysis. Large deviation principles are interesting in themselves, but from the point of view of this paper, they will just be used as a tool to treat the limiting conditional probabilities. The main general result of this first general part is Theorem 3.11 which describes the infinite-volume second-layer conditional probabilities in terms of a solution of a variational problem (leading to a consistency equation) for the constrained first layer model (CFLM).

In Section 4 we provide criteria for Gibbsianness of the transformed model. This part is based on the study of the constrained consistency equation obtained in the first part of the paper. By Tychonovs theorem there exists at least one solution. By the contraction mapping theorem there is precisely one solution, provided the respective kernel is Lipschitz, uniformly in the conditioning, with a constant L which can be derived explicitly, when $L < 1$. Uniqueness of the solution implies mean-field Gibbsianness of the transformed model, by the first part. This is in nice analogy to the corresponding lattice results obtained in the paper [24] using techniques based on Dobrushin uniqueness. More can be said however about the transformed system, and can be put in perspective with corresponding lattice results.

In [24] we were proving Gibbsianness but we did more than that. We provided explicit continuity estimates of the form

$$\|\gamma'_i(d\eta_i|\eta_{i^c}) - \gamma'_i(d\eta_i|\eta'_{i^c})\| \leq \sum_{j:j \neq i} Q_{i,j} d'(\eta_j, \eta'_j)$$

where $\gamma'_i(d\eta_i|\eta_{i^c})$ are single-site conditional probabilities for the transformed system, Q_{ij} is the so-called Goodness-matrix, and d' is the so-called posterior metric. The posterior metric is the variational distance between constrained single-site measures $d'(\eta_i, \eta'_i) = \|K(d\sigma_i|\eta_i) - K(d\sigma_i|\eta'_i)\|$ where K is the joint single-site a priori measure (obtained in terms of α and the transformation kernel).

In the present mean-field setup we prove as the main result of the second part of the paper an estimate of the form

$$\|\gamma'_i(d\eta_i|\nu) - \gamma'_i(d\eta_i|\nu')\| \leq L_2 \|\nu - \nu'\|$$

with L_2 given in Theorem 4.3. In the lattice estimate there is a matrix Q appearing, describing the spatial decay of influence of a variation of the conditioning at site j while in the mean-field estimate we are simply considering the variational distance of the empirical measure of the conditioning.

L_2 will be finite for an initial interaction that is arbitrarily large but Lipschitz when the constrained single-site measures have good concentration properties. This is the case e.g. at short-times for diffusive time-evolutions, or for sufficiently fine local coarse-grainings. When the initial interaction is small the transformation plays no role, and L_2 is finite always.

We conclude the paper with the discussion of stochastic time-evolutions and local coarse-grainings in Section 5.

2 Generalities on Mean-Field Models

2.1 Set-up

Let (S, d) and (S', d') be two given compact Polish spaces (compact separable metric spaces), each equipped with their corresponding Borel σ -algebras. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(S)$, $\mathcal{M}_+(S)$ and $\mathcal{M}(S)$ ($\mathcal{P}(S')$, $\mathcal{M}_+(S')$ and $\mathcal{M}(S')$) the spaces of probability measures, finite positive measures and finite signed measures on S (S') respectively. Let α and α' be two given reference Borel probability measures (also called the *a priori measures*) on S and S' respectively. In the following we will refer to S as the *initial (first-layer) single-site spin space* and S' as also the *transformed (second-layer) single-site spin space*. We respectively write $\Omega = S^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\Omega' = S'^{\mathbb{N}}$ as the configuration spaces for the initial (first-layer) and the transformed (second-layer) systems. In the sequel we will write probability measures for the transformed system with primes and those for the joint system (comprising of the initial and transformed systems) with tildes. The probability measures for the initial system will always be written without primes and tildes. Again we denote by σ , η and ξ the spin variable for the initial, the transformed and the joint systems respectively, (e.g. $\xi = (\sigma_i, \eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \tilde{\Omega} = (S \times S')^{\mathbb{N}}$). We further set $V_N = \{1, \dots, N\}$ and write σ_{V_N} for points in the product space S^N . We will simply write σ instead of $\sigma_{\mathbb{N}}$. We now define the following concept of mean-field interaction for the initial systems that we shall consider in this work.

Definition 2.1 *We shall refer to a map $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_+(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as a proper mean-field interaction if it satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *it is weakly continuous and*
2. *it satisfies the uniform directional differentiability condition, meaning that, for each $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_+(S)$ the derivative $\Phi^{(1)}(\nu, \mu)$ at ν in direction μ exists and we have*

$$\Phi(\nu + \mu) - \Phi(\nu) - \Phi^{(1)}(\nu, \mu) = r(\mu) \quad (1)$$

with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{r(t\mu)}{t} = 0$ uniformly in $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ for which $\nu + t\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(S)$, for $t \in (0, 1]$.

3. *$\Phi^{(1)}(\nu, \mu)$ is a continuous function of ν*

For each mean-field interaction Φ and each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the finite-volume Hamiltonian H_N (a real-valued function on the product space S^N) as

$$H_N(\sigma_{V_N}) := N\Phi(L_N(\sigma_{V_N})), \quad (2)$$

where $L_N(\sigma_{V_N}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\sigma_i}$ is the empirical measure. Observe from the permutation invariance of the empirical measures that H_N is also permutation invariant. With this notation we define the finite-volume Gibbs measure $\mu_{\beta,N}$ for the finite-volume Hamiltonian H_N and at inverse temperature β as

$$\mu_{\beta,N}(d\sigma_{V_N}) := \frac{e^{-\beta H_N(L_N(\sigma_{V_N}))} \alpha^{\otimes N}(d\sigma_{V_N})}{\int_{E^N} e^{-\beta H_N(L_N(\bar{\sigma}_{V_N}))} \alpha^{\otimes N}(d\bar{\sigma}_{V_N})}, \quad (3)$$

where we've used $\otimes$ to denote tensor product of measures. In the following, unless otherwise stated, the inverse temperature β will be absorbed into the interaction Φ . In view of this, we will write μ_N instead of $\mu_{\beta,N}$. It follows from the permutation invariance of the H_N 's and de Finetti's theorem that μ_N has weak infinite-volume limits (Gibbs measures) which are convex combinations of product measures [13]. A variational characterization of these infinite-volume measures and related results will be the content of another paper which will appear elsewhere. In our current set-up we will always assume these infinite-volume measures exist for the class of interactions we consider.

2.2 Transforms of Mean-Field Models

We now introduce on $S \times S'$ a Borel probability measure K such that

$$K(d\xi_i) = k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) \alpha'(d\eta_i), \quad \text{with} \quad \sup_{(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \in S \times S'} |\log k(\sigma_i, \eta_i)| < \infty. \quad (4)$$

We assume further that $\alpha = \int k(\cdot, \eta_i) \alpha'(d\eta_i)$ and $\alpha' = \int k(\sigma_i, \cdot) \alpha(d\sigma_i)$, where we are using the subscript $i \in \mathbb{N}$ to convey the idea that the K is the joint a priori measure for site i . Given a Gibbs measure μ for the initial model (3), it is our aim in this work to investigate the Gibbs properties of the transformed measures

$$\mu'(d\eta) = \int_{\Omega} \mu(d\sigma) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \alpha'(d\eta_i) \quad (5)$$

as has been done for the corresponding short-range models in [24]. The study, as in [24], will be based on investigating the properties of the finite-volume conditional distributions of the transformed system. This consists in studying the infinite-volume N -limits of the following finite-volume quantities:

$$\mu'_{n,N}(d\eta_{V_N} | \eta_{V_N \setminus V_n}) = \int_{S^N} \mu_N(d\sigma_{V_N}) \prod_{j=n+1}^N k(\sigma_j, \eta_j) \prod_{i=1}^n k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \alpha'(d\eta_i), \quad (6)$$

for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq n < N$. But unlike in the lattice spin systems the boundary conditions here will be fixed up to permutations, i.e., each boundary condition $\eta_{V_N \setminus V_n}$ will be a representative of a class of configurations which gives rise to the same empirical measure. In view of this, we shall take probability measures in $\mathcal{P}(S')$ as our boundary conditions. As we shall show below, the infinite-volume N -limit of $\mu'_{n,N}$ will always factorize and this factorization necessitates the study of the $n = 1$ case. This leads to the following definition of Gibbsianness for mean-field models which was originally introduced by one of the authors of this paper for the corresponding Curie-Weiss model [25, 23]. The case studied here is a generalization of this notion from empirical average to empirical measures.

Definition 2.2 We call $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$ a good configuration if and only if

1. the limit

$$\gamma'_1(d\eta_1|\lambda') := \lim_{N \uparrow \infty} \mu'_{1,N}(d\eta_1|\eta_{V_N \setminus \{1\}}) \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda' = \lim_{N \uparrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=2}^N \delta_{\eta_i} \quad (7)$$

exists for all λ' in a weak neighborhood of ν' and

2. for any Borel subset $A \subset S'$, the function $\lambda' \mapsto \gamma'_1(A|\lambda')$ is weakly continuous at $\lambda' = \nu'$.

We say μ' is **Gibbs** iff every configuration is good.

In what follows (unless otherwise stated) continuity of maps on $\mathcal{P}(S')$ will always be w.r.t. the weak topology.

In our investigation of the continuity properties of the single-site kernels γ'_1 for the transformed system we employ the machinery of large deviations theory. In view of this, we will recall some basic facts about large deviations theory that we will need in our analysis in the next subsection.

2.3 Some facts about Large Deviations Theory

In this subsection we recall some facts about large deviations theory and for detailed discussion on this theory and its application to statistical mechanics we refer the reader to [3, 7]. Let X be a Polish space equipped with its Borel σ -algebra.

Definition 2.3 A sequence of probability measures $(Q_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is said to satisfy a large deviation principle (LDP) on X with rate a_N (sequence of positive numbers tending to infinity) and rate function $I : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ if

1. I is lower semi-continuous on X , and the level sets $\{x \in X : I(x) \leq a\}$ are compact for all $a \in [0, +\infty)$;
2. for any Borel subset B of X ,

$$-I(\overset{\circ}{B}) \leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} a_N^{-1} \log Q_N(B) \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} a_N^{-1} \log Q_N(B) \leq -I(\bar{B}), \quad (8)$$

where for any subset C of X , $I(C) = \inf_{x \in C} I(x)$, and $\overset{\circ}{C}$ and $\bar{C}$ are respectively the interior and the closure of C .

As an example take $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, an i.i.d. sequence of random variables on X with ρ as the law of Y_1 . Let Q_N be the distribution of the empirical measures $L_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{Y_i}$. Then Q_N satisfies LDP with rate N and rate function

$$S(\nu|\rho) = \begin{cases} \int \frac{d\nu}{d\rho} \log \frac{d\nu}{d\rho} d\rho & \text{if } \nu \ll \rho \text{ and } \frac{d\nu}{d\rho} \log \frac{d\nu}{d\rho} \in \mathcal{L}^1(\rho) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The above example is Sanov's theorem in large deviations theory as can be found e.g. in Theorem II.4.3 of [7].

Another important fact about LDP that we shall employ in our study is the *contraction principle* (see e.g. Theorem II.5.1 of [7]), which comes to play when one is concerned with partial summary of the information weighted by Q_N . More precisely, suppose ψ is a continuous function from the Polish space X to another Polish space Y and Q_N is a sequence of probability measures on X satisfying the LDP with rate a_N rate function I . Then the sequence $\hat{Q}_N = Q_N \circ \psi^{-1}$ of probability measures on Y also satisfies LDP with rate a_N and rate function $\hat{I}$ given by

$$\hat{I}(y) = \inf \{I(x) : \psi(x) = y\}. \quad (10)$$

Our last fact from LDP concerns the integrals of exponentials of functionals of random variables whose distributions satisfy LDP. This is found e.g. in [7] as Theorem II.7.2a. The result in [7] is more general than what is stated here.

Fact 2.4 *Let X be a Polish space and Q_N a sequence of probability measures on X obeying LDP with rate a_N and rate function I . Suppose that $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is continuous and bounded below, and for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the integral $\int_X \exp(-a_N F(x)) Q_N(dx)$ is finite. Let $Q_{N,F}$ be the sequence of probability measures given by*

$$Q_{N,F}(A) = \frac{\int_A \exp(-a_N F(x)) Q_N(dx)}{\int_X \exp(-a_N F(x)) Q_N(dx)}, \quad (11)$$

for any Borel subset A of X . Then $Q_{N,F}$ satisfies LDP with rate a_N and rate function

$$I_F(x) = I(x) + F(x) - \inf_{y \in X} (I(y) + F(y)). \quad (12)$$

3 Two-layer Models and Gibbsianness of Transformed Systems

In this section we consider mean-field systems with $S \times S'$ as their single-site spin space and K as the corresponding a priori measure. As is discussed in [24] the a priori measure K couples two systems; namely, the first-layer system described by some given mean-field interaction Φ and the a priori measure α , and the second-layer system which are i.i.d. with distribution α' . The finite-volume Gibbs measures $\tilde{\mu}_N$ for our two-layer (joint) system are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_N(d\xi_{V_N}) &= \frac{\exp(-N\Phi(L_N(\sigma_{V_N}))) \prod_{i=1}^N K(d\sigma_i, d\eta_i)}{\int_{S^N} \exp(-N\Phi(L_N(\hat{\sigma}_{V_N}))) \prod_{i=1}^N \alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i)} \\ &= \frac{\exp(-N\left\{\Phi(\pi_1 L_N(\xi_{V_N})) - L_N(\xi_{V_N})[\log k(\cdot, \cdot)]\right\}) \prod_{i=1}^N \alpha(d\sigma_i) \alpha'(d\eta_i)}{\int \exp(-N\left\{\Phi(\pi_1 L_N(\hat{\xi}_{V_N})) - L_N(\hat{\xi}_{V_N})[\log k(\cdot, \cdot)]\right\}) \prod_{i=1}^N \alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i) \alpha'(\hat{\eta}_i)}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $L_N(\xi_{V_N}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{(\sigma_i, \eta_i)}$ is the joint empirical measures and $\pi_1 L_N(\xi_{V_N}) = L_N(\sigma_{V_N})$ is the projection onto the first variable. We have also denoted by $\nu[f]$ the integral of the measurable map f w.r.t. the measure ν . Under the map $\xi_{V_N} \mapsto L_N(\xi_{V_N})$, the joint measures $\tilde{\mu}_N$ have unique push-forwards $\tilde{Q}_{N,\Phi,k}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S \times S'))$. So we now study the large deviation properties of the empirical measures $L_N(\xi_{V_N})$ under $\tilde{Q}_{N,\Phi,k}$ and the proposition below is a summary of this LDP property.

Proposition 3.1 *The sequence of probability measures $\tilde{Q}_{N,\Phi,k}$ satisfies an LDP with rate N and rate function $\tilde{J}$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}(\tilde{\nu}) &= \Phi(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}) - \tilde{\nu}[\log k(\cdot, \cdot)] + S(\tilde{\nu}|\alpha \otimes \alpha') - \text{const} \quad \text{where} \\ \text{const} &= \inf_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}(S \times S')} \left\{ \Phi(\pi_1 \tilde{\lambda}) - \tilde{\lambda}[\log k(\cdot, \cdot)] + S(\tilde{\lambda}|\alpha \otimes \alpha') \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Remark: 1. Proposition 3.1 is a direct consequence of Fact 2.4, since Φ is bounded and the weak topology on $\mathcal{P}(S \times S')$ turns $\mathcal{P}(S \times S')$ into a compact separable metric space.

2. The continuous map $\xi_{V_N} \mapsto L_N(\xi_{V_N})$ from $(S \times S')^N$ to $\mathcal{P}(S \times S')$ also induces a continuous map (say ϑ_N) from $\mathcal{P}((S \times S')^N)$ into $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S \times S'))$. So the push-forwards $\tilde{Q}_{N,\Phi,k}$ are simply obtained by replacing the product measures $\prod_{i=1}^N \alpha \otimes \alpha'$ in the second equality in (13) by their images under ϑ_N .

3. Observe from Proposition 3.1 that the empirical measures of the initial spin variables under the initial finite-volume Gibbs measures μ_N (3) satisfy an LDP with rate N and rate function I_α^Φ given by

$$I_\alpha^\Phi(\nu) = S(\nu|\alpha) + \Phi(\nu) - \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(S)} [S(\mu|\alpha) + \Phi(\mu)]. \quad (15)$$

Our next task is to study the LDP for the transformed measures μ'_N given by

$$\mu'_N = \int_{S^N} \tilde{\mu}_N(d\sigma_{V_N}). \quad (16)$$

For any $\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}(S \times S')$ we denote by $\pi_2 \tilde{\nu}$ the marginal of $\tilde{\nu}$ on S' . Denote by $Q'_{N,\Phi,k}$ the push-forward of μ'_N given by $Q'_{N,\Phi,k} = \tilde{Q}_{N,\Phi,k} \circ \pi_2^{-1}$. Therefore by the contraction principle, the empirical measures of the transformed spins under $Q'_{N,\Phi,k}$ satisfy an LDP which we formulate in the proposition below.

Proposition 3.2 *The sequence of probability measures $Q'_{N,\Phi,k}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(S'))$ satisfies an LDP with rate N and rate function J' given by*

$$\begin{aligned} J'(\nu') &= \inf_{\substack{\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}(S \times S') \\ \pi_2 \tilde{\nu} = \nu'}} \tilde{J}(\tilde{\nu}) = S(\nu'|\alpha') + \inf_{\tilde{\nu} \in M_{\nu'}} J_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}) - \text{const}, \quad \text{where} \\ J_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}) &= S(\tilde{\nu}|\alpha \otimes \nu') + \Phi(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}) - \tilde{\nu}[\log k(\cdot, \cdot)], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and $M_{\nu'}$ is the subset of $\mathcal{P}(S \times S')$ consisting of probability measures with fixed second marginal ν' .

Remark: For each $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$, $J_{\nu'}$ up to an additive constant (depending on ν') is the large deviations rate function for the joint system when the second-layer system is constrained to configurations with empirical measure ν' , i.e. J (up to an additive constant) is the rate function for the CFLM. This CFLM rate function will play a key role in determining whether the transformed system is Gibbs or not. We shall show below that the continuity properties of the transformed single-site kernels $\gamma'_1(\cdot|\nu')$ will be determined by the unicity of the global minimizers of the function $J_{\nu'}$ uniformly in ν' . Observe also that $J_{\nu'}$ is a lower semi-continuous function and that it also attains its infimum on $M_{\nu'}$, since $M_{\nu'}$ is compact subset of $\mathcal{P}(S \times S')$. Additionally, $M_{\nu'}$ is convex.

Proof of Proposition 3.2: The first equality in the expression for J' (17) of Proposition 3.2 follows from the contraction principle, since the map $\pi_2 : \mathcal{P}(S \times S') \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S')$ is weakly continuous. Further, for each $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$ the set $M_{\nu'}$ is compact, because $M_{\nu'} = \pi_2^{-1}(\{\nu'\})$ and by continuity of π_2 it is a closed subset of $\mathcal{P}(S \times S')$. Now it also follows from standard results in analysis that closed subsets of compact set are compact and hence the compactness of $M_{\nu'}$, since the weak topology turns $\mathcal{P}(S \times S')$ into a compact Polish space by the compactness of $S \times S'$. This shows that the infimum of $\tilde{J}$ over measures with fixed second marginal ν' is attained on $M_{\nu'}$.

Now for each $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$, the measures $\tilde{\nu} \in M_{\nu'}$ are of the form $\tilde{\nu}(d\xi_i) = \nu'(d\eta_i)\tilde{\nu}(d\sigma_i|\eta_i)$, where for each $\eta_i \in S'$, $\tilde{\nu}(d\sigma_i|\eta_i) \in \mathcal{P}(S)$. With this representation, the relative entropy for the elements in $M_{\nu'}$ w.r.t. $\alpha \otimes \alpha'$ takes the form

$$S(\tilde{\nu}|\alpha \otimes \alpha') = S(\nu'|\alpha') + \int_{S'} \nu'(d\sigma') S(\tilde{\nu}(\cdot|\sigma')|\alpha') = S(\nu'|\alpha') + S(\tilde{\nu}|\alpha \otimes \nu'). \quad (18)$$

This proves the second equality of the expression for J' in (17). □

The measures in $M_{\nu'}$ that are of interest in determining $J'(\nu')$ are at most those for which $\tilde{\nu}(\cdot|\eta_i) \ll \alpha$, for all $\eta_i \in S'$ i.e.,

$$\tilde{\nu}(d\xi_i) = \nu'(d\eta_i)\alpha(d\sigma_i)f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i), \quad (19)$$

for some measurable function $f_{\nu'} : S \times S' \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ with the property that for each $\eta_i \in S'$, $\int \alpha(d\sigma_i)f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) = 1$. We call $f_{\nu'}$ the *conditional α -density* of $\tilde{\nu}$. This reduces the whole problem of minimizing $J_{\nu'}$ over $M_{\nu'}$ to the problem of finding the conditional α -densities $f_{\nu'}$ for which $\nu'(d\eta_i)\alpha(d\sigma_i)f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i)$ is a minimizer of $J_{\nu'}$.

As our next result, we present an explicit expression for the conditional α -densities $f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i)$ at which $J_{\nu'}$ attains both global and local minima.

Theorem 3.3 *For any $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$, the function $J_{\nu'}$ attains its infimum on $M_{\nu'}$. Furthermore, any minimizer (global or local) $\tilde{\nu} \in M_{\nu'}$ of $J_{\nu'}$ has the conditional α -density $f_{\nu'}$ which is $\alpha \otimes \nu'$ -a.s. strictly positive and satisfies the "constrained mean-field equation"*

$$f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) = \frac{e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1\tilde{\nu}, \delta_{\sigma_i})}k(\sigma_i, \eta_i)}{\int e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1\tilde{\nu}, \delta_{\hat{\sigma}_i})}k(\hat{\sigma}_i, \eta_i)\alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i)}. \quad (20)$$

Remark: 1. As we pointed out in the above, the measures $\tilde{\nu} \in M_{\nu'}$ that are involved in determining $J'(\nu')$ are those that takes the form (19). The minimizers of $J_{\nu'}$ are among these probability measures and indeed they are those probability measures with $f_{\nu'}$ given by (20).

2. Note that the minimizers of the rate function I_{α}^{Φ} (15) for the initial system are also measures $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ with $\nu(d\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_i)\alpha(d\sigma_i)$, where the f 's are α -a.s. strictly positive and satisfy the mean-field equation

$$f(\sigma_i) = \frac{e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\nu, \delta_{\sigma_i})}}{\int e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\nu, \delta_{\hat{\sigma}_i})}\alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i)}. \quad (21)$$

We defer the proof of Theorem 3.3 to the Appendix at the end of the paper.

The above consideration leads to the following definition of some probability kernel from $M_{\nu'}$ to $S \times S'$.

Definition 3.4 *We refer to the map $\gamma_{\nu'} : M_{\nu'} \rightarrow M_{\nu'}$ given by*

$$\gamma_{\nu'}(d\xi_i|\tilde{\nu}) = \nu'(d\eta_i) \frac{e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1\tilde{\nu}, \delta_{\sigma_i})} k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i)}{\int e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1\tilde{\nu}, \delta_{\hat{\sigma}_i})} k(\hat{\sigma}_i, \eta_i) \alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i)} \quad (22)$$

as the constrained first layer probability kernel (CFLPK).

Note that for any Borel subset A of $S \times S'$, the map $\gamma_{\nu'}(A|\cdot) : M_{\nu'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous by the continuity property of $\Phi^{(1)}$. Observe further that not all the measures $\tilde{\nu} \in M_{\nu'}$ have $\tilde{\nu}(\cdot|\cdot) \ll \alpha$, but the fixed points of $\gamma_{\nu'}$ do and include all the minimizers of $J_{\nu'}$.

It is not hard to deduce from the second remark below Theorem 3.3 that we can analogously define a version of the CFLPK for the initial system, namely;

$$\gamma_1(d\sigma_i|\nu) = \frac{e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\nu, \delta_{\sigma_i})} \alpha(d\sigma_i)}{\int e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\nu, \delta_{\hat{\sigma}_i})} \alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i)}. \quad (23)$$

Here γ_1 is a map from $\mathcal{P}(S)$ onto itself.

We introduce the following notion of consistency for mean-field models.

Definition 3.5 *A probability measure $\tilde{\nu} \in M_{\nu'}$ is said to be consistent w.r.t. $\gamma_{\nu'}$ whenever*

$$\gamma_{\nu'}(\xi_i|\tilde{\nu}) = \tilde{\nu}(d\xi_i). \quad (24)$$

The consistent probability measures for the CFLPK are those measures $\tilde{\nu} \in M_{\nu'}$ for which $\tilde{\nu}(\cdot|\cdot) \ll \alpha$ and have conditional α -density functions $f_{\nu'}$ which are given by (20). Observe that the consistent probability measures are the fixed points of $\gamma_{\nu'}$.

This notion of consistency also carries over to the kernel γ_1 for the initial system. Observe further that the kernel $\gamma_1(\cdot|\nu)$ is continuous as a function of the conditioning $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(S)$. This continuity property follows as a result of the continuity property of $\Phi^{(1)}(\cdot, \cdot)$ in its first argument. This then implies the Gibbsianness of the initial system by Definition 2.2.

Proposition 3.6 *For any probability measure $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$, the CFLPK $\gamma_{\nu'}$ has a fixed point.*

Proof: The existence of a fixed point for the CFLPK follows from the Tychonov's fixed point theorem, which states that for any non-empty compact convex subset X of a locally convex topological vector space V , and continuous function $f : X \rightarrow X$, there is a fixed point for f .

Now as we observed from the proof of Proposition 3.2, for any $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$ the set $M_{\nu'}$ is compact w.r.t. the weak topology. It is also not hard to see that it is convex. So we are now only left to show that the space $\mathcal{M}(S \times S')$ of finite signed measures on $S \times S'$ is locally convex topological vector space under the weak topology.

That $\mathcal{M}(S \times S')$ is a locally convex topological vector space w.r.t. the weak topology follows from the following sequence of arguments: The total variational norm turns the space $\mathcal{M}(S \times S')$ into a Banach space. This then implies that $\mathcal{M}(S \times S')$ is normable

and consequently it is locally convex (i.e. the origin has a local base of convex sets) w.r.t. the total variational topology by Theorem 1.39 of [29]. It then follows from the corollary to Theorem 3.4 of [29] that the dual space $\mathcal{M}^*(S \times S')$ of $\mathcal{M}(S \times S')$ separates points in $\mathcal{M}(S \times S')$. The weak topology on $\mathcal{M}(S \times S')$ generated by the dual space $\mathcal{M}^*(S \times S')$ then turns $\mathcal{M}(S \times S')$ into a locally convex topological space by Theorem 3.10 of [29].

□

The existence of consistent probability measures for the initial kernel γ_1 is trivial, since γ_1 is continuous and $\mathcal{P}(S)$ is compact convex set. Note also that for each $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$, the constrained first layer model is Gibbsian (in the sense of Definition 2.2) by the continuity property of $\gamma_{\nu'}$. Indeed, the CFLPK $\gamma_{\nu'}$ can be extended to the whole of $\mathcal{P}(S \times S')$ but those probability measures we will be interested in are those in $M_{\nu'}$.

For each $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$ denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\nu'}$ the set of all consistent probability measures of $\gamma_{\nu'}$. We state as our next result the following lemma concerning a single-site variational principle for the CFLM.

Lemma 3.7 *For any probability measure $\tilde{\nu} \in M_{\nu'}$ the relative entropy $S(\tilde{\nu}|\alpha \otimes \nu')$ satisfies*

$$S(\tilde{\nu}|\alpha \otimes \nu') \geq -\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}, \pi_1 \tilde{\nu}) + \tilde{\nu}[\log k(\cdot, \cdot)] - \int \nu'(d\eta_i) \log \int \alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i) e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}, \delta_{\hat{\sigma}_i})} k(\hat{\sigma}_i, \eta_i). \quad (25)$$

In particular equality is attained whenever $\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{C}_{\nu'}$.

Proof: For any $\tilde{\nu} \in M_{\nu'}$ the expression on the right-hand-side of (25) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & -\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}, \pi_1 \tilde{\nu}) + \tilde{\nu}[\log k(\cdot, \cdot)] - \int \nu'(d\eta_i) \log \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}, \delta_{\sigma_i})} k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \\ &= \int_{S \times S'} \tilde{\nu}(d\xi_i) \log \left\{ \frac{e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}, \delta_{\sigma_i})} k(\sigma_i, \eta_i)}{\int \alpha(d\sigma_i) e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}, \delta_{\sigma_i})} k(\sigma_i, \eta_i)} \right\} = \int_{S \times S'} \tilde{\nu}(d\xi_i) \log \left\{ \frac{d\gamma_{\nu'}}{d(\alpha \otimes \nu')}(\xi_i | \tilde{\nu}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The proof now becomes showing that

$$S(\tilde{\nu}|\alpha \otimes \nu') - \int_{S \times S'} \tilde{\nu}(d\xi_i) \log \left\{ \frac{d\gamma_{\nu'}}{d(\alpha \otimes \nu')}(\xi_i | \tilde{\nu}) \right\} \geq 0. \quad (27)$$

The case for measures $\tilde{\nu} \in M_{\nu'}$ with $S(\tilde{\nu}|\alpha \otimes \nu') = \infty$ is trivial since we get strict inequality by the boundedness properties of Φ and k .

Now for the case of $\tilde{\nu} \in M_{\nu'}$ with $S(\tilde{\nu}|\alpha \otimes \nu') < \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S \times S'} \tilde{\nu}(d\xi_i) \log \left\{ \frac{d\tilde{\nu}}{d(\alpha \otimes \nu')}(\xi_i) \right\} - \int_{S \times S'} \tilde{\nu}(d\xi_i) \log \left\{ \frac{d\gamma_{\nu'}}{d(\alpha \otimes \nu')}(\xi_i | \tilde{\nu}) \right\} \\ &= \int_{S \times S'} \tilde{\nu}(d\xi_i) \log \left\{ \frac{d\tilde{\nu}}{d\gamma_{\nu'}(\cdot | \tilde{\nu})}(\xi_i) \right\} = S(\tilde{\nu}|\gamma_{\nu'}(\cdot | \tilde{\nu})) \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

since $S(\tilde{\nu}|\gamma_{\nu'}(\cdot | \tilde{\nu})) \geq 0$ with equality holding only when $\tilde{\nu} = \gamma_{\nu'}(\cdot | \tilde{\nu})$. This concludes the proof.

□

We state as our next result a theorem concerning some function $\Psi_{\nu'}$ on $M_{\nu'}$ which is dominated by $J_{\nu'}$ and coincides with $J_{\nu'}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\nu'}$.

Theorem 3.8 *For any given $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$, the function $J_{\nu'}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}) &\geq \Psi_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}) \quad \text{where} \\ \Psi_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}) &= \Phi(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}) - \Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}, \pi_1 \tilde{\nu}) - \int \nu'(d\eta_i) \log \int \alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i) e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}, \delta_{\hat{\sigma}_i})} k(\hat{\sigma}_i, \eta_i). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

In particular $J_{\nu'}$ coincides with $\Psi_{\nu'}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\nu'}$ and if Φ is homogeneous of degree p then $\Psi_{\nu'}$ becomes

$$\Psi_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}) = (1 - p)\Phi(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}) - \int \nu'(d\eta_i) \log \int \alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i) e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}, \delta_{\hat{\sigma}_i})} k(\hat{\sigma}_i, \eta_i). \quad (30)$$

Proof: The expression for $\Psi_{\nu'}$ and the inequality (29) follow by substituting the lower bound on $S(\cdot|\alpha \otimes \nu')$ in Lemma 3.7 into the expression for $J_{\nu'}$ in Proposition 3.2. Furthermore, if Φ is homogeneous of degree p , we then have

$$\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}, \pi_1 \tilde{\nu}) = \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu} + t\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} (1+t)^p \Phi(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}) \Big|_{t=0} = p\Phi(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}) \quad (31)$$

and putting this into the expression for $\Psi_{\nu'}$ in (29) yields the desired expression in (30). □

Corollary 3.9 *The transformed LDP rate function J' now becomes*

$$J'(\nu') = S(\nu'|\alpha') + \Phi_k(\nu') - \text{const}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi_k(\nu') = \inf_{\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{C}_{\nu'}} \Psi_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}). \quad (32)$$

Remark: 1. The above expression for the transformed rate function J' is a consequence of the fact that $J_{\nu'}$ coincides with $\Psi_{\nu'}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\nu'}$. Thus Φ_k is the interaction for the transformed system arising from the initial system described by Φ and subjected to the site-wise transformations governed by k .

3.0.1 Examples

Take Φ to be an Ising mean-field interaction (i.e. $S = \{+1, -1\}$) given by

$$\Phi(m) = -\frac{\beta}{p} m^p \quad (33)$$

where $m \in [-1, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$. Here the reason for using m instead of probability measures on S is that the probability measures on S are uniquely determined by m , i.e. each $m \in [-1, 1]$ can uniquely be associated with a probability measure (say ν) on S given by $\nu(\sigma_i) = \frac{1+m}{2} \delta_{+1}(\sigma_i) + \frac{1-m}{2} \delta_{-1}(\sigma_i)$. The expectation w.r.t. ν then gives rise to m .

We take $k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) = p_t(\sigma_i, \eta_i)$ to be the transition probabilities (i.e. $p_t(\sigma_i, \eta_i)$ is the probability of starting with σ_i at site i and observing η_i after t time units) for rate one

site-wise independent spin-flip dynamics on S [25]. Here both S and S' are the same, and the a priori measures $\alpha = \alpha' = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{+1} + \delta_{-1})$. More precisely, $p_t(\sigma_i, \eta_i)$ is given by

$$p_t(\sigma_i, \eta_i) = \frac{e^{\sigma_i \eta_i h_t}}{2 \cosh(h_t)}, \quad \text{where} \quad h_t = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{1 + e^{-2t}}. \quad (34)$$

As pointed out above, we will denote by $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ the expected values of the probability measures on the transformed single-site space. We will also write m' for the expected values of the first marginals of probability measures on $S \times S$ with fixed second marginal. The fixed second marginal will be assumed to have mean τ .

Then Ψ . for this set-up becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\tau(m') = & \frac{(p-1)\beta}{p} m'^p - \frac{1+\tau}{2} \log \left\{ \cosh \left(\beta m'^{(p-1)} + h_t \right) \right\} \\ & - \frac{1-\tau}{2} \log \left\{ \cosh \left(\beta m'^{(p-1)} - h_t \right) \right\} + \log (2 \cosh(h_t)). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Consequently, this form of Ψ_τ gives rise to the mean-field equation

$$m' = \frac{1+\tau}{2} \tanh \left(\beta m'^{(p-1)} + h_t \right) + \frac{1-\tau}{2} \tanh \left(\beta m'^{(p-1)} - h_t \right). \quad (36)$$

Remark: In the case $p = 2$, Ψ . is the Hubbard-Stratonovitch potential function [25]. The unicity of global minimizers of this potential function played a crucial role in determining the Gibbs and non-Gibbs properties of the corresponding transformed system studied in [25].

In [25] the derivation of Ψ . is based on the quadratic nature of the interaction Φ . The technique employed there cannot be used to derive Ψ . for non-quadratic interactions and this is where our approach comes to the rescue, i.e. our approach of deriving Ψ . via the machinery of large deviations is adaptable to more general mean-field interactions (both discrete and continuous spins).

We now discuss in detail Gibbsianness and non-Gibbsianness for mean-field models as introduced in Definition 2.2.

3.1 Gibbsianness for Transforms of mean-field models

In this subsection we study the Gibbs properties of the transformed measures μ' (5) introduced in Section 2.2. This investigation of the Gibbs properties of transformed measures shall be based on the continuity properties of the conditional distributions γ' as a function of the conditioning. Before we formulate this, let us fix some notations that we shall use in our formulation. For each $N \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n < N$ we denote by $\tilde{\mu}_{N-n}[\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}]$ the joint system in $V_N \setminus V_n$ when the second-layer spins are constrained to a given configuration $\bar{\eta} \in \Omega'$, i.e. $\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}$ is the projection of $\bar{\eta}$ onto S'^{N-n} . As we pointed out in the above, a representative $\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}$ of a class of configurations in S'^{N-n} with the same empirical measure $L_{N-n}(\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n})$ will give rise to the same measure $\tilde{\mu}_{N-n}[\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}]$. Therefore by fixing $\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}$ implies we are restricting attention to only the configurations in a subset of S'^{N-n} with fixed $L_{N-n}(\eta_{V_N \setminus V_n})$. Suppose $\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}$ is one such representative, we call $\tilde{\mu}_{N-n}[\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}]$ the *restricted constrained first layer model (RCFLM)* for the corresponding mean-field model. It is restricted because we

are not taking into account the spins in V_n and constrained because we have frozen the configurations in the second-layer to $\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}$. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_{N-n}[\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}](d\sigma_{V_N \setminus V_n}) &= \frac{\exp\left(-N\Phi(\pi_1 \bar{\nu}_{N,n})\right) \prod_{i=n+1}^N k(\sigma_i, \bar{\eta}_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i)}{\int \exp\left(-N\Phi(\pi_1 \hat{\nu}_{N,n})\right) \prod_{i=n+1}^N k(\hat{\sigma}_i, \bar{\eta}_i) \alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i)} \\ &= \frac{\exp\left(-N\left\{\Phi(\pi_1 \bar{\nu}_{N,n}) - \bar{\nu}_{N,n}[\log k]\right\}\right) \prod_{i=n+1}^N \alpha(d\sigma_i)}{\int \exp\left(-N\left\{\Phi(\pi_1 \hat{\nu}_{N,n}) - \hat{\nu}_{N,n}[\log k]\right\}\right) \prod_{i=n+1}^N \alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i)}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where $\bar{\nu}_{N,n} = \frac{N-n}{N} L_{N-n}(\bar{\xi}_{V_N \setminus V_n})$, $L_{N-n}(\bar{\xi}_{V_N \setminus V_n}) = \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=n+1}^N \delta_{(\sigma_i, \bar{\eta}_i)}$ and $\hat{\xi}_i = (\hat{\sigma}_i, \bar{\eta}_i)$.

Remark: Suppose $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$ is the empirical measure for the configuration $\bar{\eta}$. Then for a fixed n , the sequence of measures $\bar{\nu}_{N,n}$ under the pushed-forwards of $\tilde{\mu}_{N-n}[\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}]$ satisfies an LDP with rate N and rate function $\bar{J}_{\nu'} : M_{\nu'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ given by

$$\bar{J}_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}) = J_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}) - \text{const}_{\nu'}, \quad \text{where} \quad \text{const}_{\nu'} = \inf_{\tilde{\nu} \in M_{\nu'}} J_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}). \quad (38)$$

The validity of the expression for $\bar{J}_{\nu'}$ lies in the fact that for $\bar{\eta} \in \Omega'$ constrained to have empirical measure ν' , the sequence of empirical measures $L_{N-n}(\bar{\xi}_{V_N \setminus V_n})$ converge weakly in the N -limit to an element in $M_{\nu'}$. For any of such measures $\tilde{\nu}$ for which $\tilde{\nu}(\cdot|\eta_i) \ll \alpha$ (for ν' almost all $\eta_i \in S'$),

$$\frac{d\tilde{\nu}}{d\alpha}(\sigma_i, d\eta_i) = \nu'(d\eta_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i). \quad (39)$$

Therefore, the relative entropy of such probability measures $\tilde{\nu}$ w.r.t. α then becomes

$$S(\tilde{\nu}|\alpha) = \int \nu'(d\eta_i) \int f_{\nu'_i}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) \log f_{\nu'_i}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i). \quad (40)$$

Our next result in this subsection concerns a representation of the finite-volume transformed conditional distributions $\mu'_{n,N}(\cdot|\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n})$ in terms of the RCFLM $\tilde{\mu}_{N-n}[\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}]$.

Lemma 3.10 *Let N , n and $\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}$ be as above. Then the finite-volume conditional distribution $\mu'_{n,N}(\cdot|\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n})$ for the transformed system has the form*

$$\mu'_{n,N}(d\eta_{V_n}|\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}) = \frac{\tilde{\mu}_{N-n}[\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}] \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \int_S e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \bar{\nu}_{N,n}, \delta_{\sigma_i}) + o(\frac{1}{N})} k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) \alpha'(d\eta_i) \right]}{\tilde{\mu}_{N-n}[\bar{\eta}_{V_N \setminus V_n}] \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \int_S e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \bar{\nu}_{N,n}, \delta_{\sigma_i}) + o(\frac{1}{N})} \alpha(d\sigma_i) \right]}. \quad (41)$$

Proof: Note from the definition of the the transformed system that we can write

$\mu'_{n,N}(d\eta_{V_n}|\bar{\eta}_{V_N\setminus V_n})$ as

$$\mu'_{n,N}(d\eta_{V_n}|\bar{\eta}_{V_N\setminus V_n}) = \frac{\prod_{j=n+1}^N \int_S \alpha(d\sigma_j) k(\bar{\xi}_j) \prod_{i=1}^n \int_S \alpha(d\sigma_i) \alpha'(d\eta_i) e^{-N\Phi(\pi_1 L_N(\bar{\xi}_{V_N}))} k(\xi_i)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^N \int_S \alpha(d\sigma_j) k(\bar{\xi}_j) \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{S \times S'} \alpha(d\sigma_i) \alpha'(d\hat{\eta}_i) e^{-N\Phi(\pi_1 L_N(\bar{\xi}_{V_N}))} k(\hat{\xi}_i)}, \quad (42)$$

where the joint configuration $\bar{\xi}_{V_N}$ is such that $\bar{\xi}_{V_N\setminus V_n} = (\sigma_i, \bar{\eta}_i)_{i \in V_N\setminus V_n}$ and $\bar{\xi}_{V_n} = (\sigma_i, \eta_i)_{i \in V_n}$, and $\hat{\xi}_i = (\sigma_i, \hat{\eta}_i)$. Now by writing the joint empirical measure as

$$L_N(\bar{\xi}_{V_N}) = \frac{N-n}{N} L_{N-n}(\bar{\xi}_{V_N\setminus V_n}) + \frac{n}{N} L_n(\xi_{V_n}),$$

and adding and subtracting $N\Phi(\frac{N-n}{N} L_{N-n}(\bar{\xi}_{V_N\setminus V_n}))$ from the exponent $N\Phi(L_N(\bar{\xi}_{V_N}))$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N\Phi(L_N(\bar{\xi}_{V_N})) &= N\Phi\left(\frac{N-n}{N} L_{N-n}(\bar{\xi}_{V_N\setminus V_n})\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi^{(1)}\left(\frac{N-n}{N} L_{N-n}(\bar{\xi}_{V_N\setminus V_n}), \delta_{\sigma_i}\right) \\ &\quad + o\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where $o(\frac{1}{N})$ is a result of (1) of Definition 2.1 where we have taken $t = \frac{1}{N}$. Finally, by putting this expression of $N\Phi(L_N(\bar{\xi}_{V_N}))$ (43) into the expression of $\mu'_{n,N}(d\eta_{V_n}|\bar{\eta}_{V_N\setminus V_n})$ (42) and multiplying the resulting expression by

$$\frac{\prod_{j=n+1}^N \int \alpha(d\sigma_j) \exp\left(-N\Phi(\pi_1 \bar{\nu}_{N,n})\right) k(\sigma_j, \bar{\eta}_j)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^N \int \alpha(d\sigma_j) \exp\left(-N\Phi(\pi_1 \bar{\nu}_{N,n})\right) k(\sigma_j, \bar{\eta}_j)}. \quad (44)$$

conclude the proof of the lemma. $\square$

We now state the infinite-volume ($N \rightarrow \infty$) version of Lemma 3.10. A sufficient condition for the existence of the finite-volume conditional distributions with infinite-volume η -conditioning is provided. This sufficient condition is the unicity of the global minimizers of the function $J_{\nu'}$, $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$.

Theorem 3.11 *For each $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$, let $J_{\nu'} : M_{\nu'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be as defined in (17). Suppose further that for a given $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$ $J_{\nu'}$ has a unique global minimizer $\tilde{\nu}^* \in M_{\nu'}$. I) Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'_n(d\eta_{V_n}|\nu') &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_{n,N}(d\eta_{V_n}|\bar{\eta}_{V_N\setminus V_n}) = \prod_{i \in V_n} \gamma'_1(d\eta_i|\nu'), \quad \text{with} \\ \gamma'_1(d\eta_i|\nu') &= \frac{\int_S \alpha(d\sigma_i) e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}^*, \delta_{\sigma_i})} k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \alpha'(d\eta_i)}{\int_S \alpha(d\sigma_i) e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}^*, \delta_{\sigma_i})}}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

II) If $J_{\lambda'}$ has a unique global minimizer $\tilde{\lambda}^*$ for all λ' in a weak neighborhood of ν' , then $\gamma'_n(d\eta_{V_n}|\nu')$ is weakly continuous at ν' as a function of the conditioning $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$.

Remark: 1. The ν' dependence of the expression for $\gamma'_1(d\sigma'_1|\nu')$ is hidden in $\Phi^{(1)}$, via the probability measure $\pi_1\tilde{\nu}^*$.

2. Theorem 3.11 provides a sufficient condition for the transformed system to be Gibbs (in the sense of Definition 2.2), namely the unicity of global minimizers of J . Thus the problem of determining whether the transformed system is Gibbs or not is then translated into the corresponding problem of studying the global minimizers of J .

Proof of Theorem 3.11:

I) The proof follows by way of the form of the finite-volume conditional distribution given in Lemma 3.10 and the hypothesis that the function $J_{\nu'}$ has a unique global minimizer. Because the leading term in the large N asymptotic of $\mu'_{n,N}(d\eta_{V_n}|\bar{\eta}_{V_N\setminus V_n})$ is governed by the global minimizers of $J_{\nu'}$.

II) By hypothesis we get continuity for free by the continuity properties of the mean-field interaction Φ .

□

4 Gibbsianness of transformed systems and the contraction map theorem

This section is devoted for studying the minimizers of the function J for some special class of initial interactions Φ . Up to this point all topological considerations have been w.r.t. the weak topology, i.e. the weak topology is sufficient to study Gibbs measures and Gibbs properties of transforms of Gibbs measures for mean-field models. We now consider another topology on the spaces of measures which is stronger than the weak topology. This topology is the one induced by the total variational metric. Continuity in this new topology implies the continuity w.r.t. the weak topology. All topological considerations for the interactions we consider in this section shall be w.r.t. the variational topology. Additionally, we also impose further smoothness requirements on the initial interactions Φ other than those given in Definition 2.1. All these restrictions on the interactions are required to derive explicit continuity estimates on the CFLPK's and consequently on the transformed kernels.

To be precise, we consider interactions Φ that are given by

$$\Phi(\nu) = F(\nu[g_1], \dots, \nu[g_l]), \quad (46)$$

where g_i are some fixed bounded non-constant real-valued measurable functions defined on S , $l \geq 1$ and $F : \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is some twice continuously differentiable function (e.g. if F is a polynomial). In the following we will write $g = (g_1, \dots, g_l)$ and $\nu[g] = (\nu[g_1], \dots, \nu[g_l])$. By setting $m_j = m_j(\nu) = \nu[g_j]$, we have for this choice of interaction that

$$\Phi^{(1)}(\nu, \delta_{\sigma_i}) = \sum_{j=1}^l F_j(\nu[g_1], \dots, \nu[g_l]) g_j(\sigma_i), \quad (47)$$

where $F_j(m) = \frac{\partial}{\partial m_j} F(m)$ and $m = m(\nu) = \nu[g]$. We also set $F_{ju}(m) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial m_j \partial m_u} F(m)$. Additionally, we assume that g is Lipschitz-function from S to $\mathbb{R}^l$, with Lipschitz-norm

$$\|g\|_{d,2} = \sup_{\sigma_i \neq \bar{\sigma}_i} \frac{\|g(\sigma_i) - g(\bar{\sigma}_i)\|_2}{d(\sigma_i, \bar{\sigma}_i)}, \quad (48)$$

where d is the metric on S . We also denote by $\delta(g)$ the sum of the oscillations of the components of g , i.e.

$$\delta(g) = \sum_{j=1}^l \delta(g_j). \quad (49)$$

For any g satisfying the above conditions we set

$$D_g = \overline{\{\nu[g] : \nu \in \mathcal{P}(S)\}}. \quad (50)$$

Note that D_g is compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^l$ by the boundedness of g . In the sequel we will write $\|\partial^2 F\|_{\max, \infty}$ for the supremum of the matrix max norm of the Hessian $\partial^2 F$. i.e.

$$\|\partial^2 F\|_{\max, \infty} = \sup_{m \in D_g} \|\partial^2 F(m)\|_{\max}, \quad \text{where} \quad \|\partial^2 F(m)\|_{\max} = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} |F_{ij}(m)|. \quad (51)$$

Furthermore, we also set

$$\hat{\delta}(\Phi^{(1)}) = \sup_{m \in D_g} \sup_{\sigma_i, \bar{\sigma}_i \in S} \left| \sum_{j=1}^l F_j(m) (g_j(\sigma_i) - g_j(\bar{\sigma}_i)) \right|. \quad (52)$$

Up to this point one may wonder whether the class of interactions we are considering in this section has any physical relevance. Indeed, it contains important mean-field interactions like the Curie-Weiss interactions, liquid crystal interactions, sums of “ p -spin” interactions ect., that have featured prominently in the literature.

4.1 Lipschitz Continuity of the CFLPK and Gibbsianness of Transformed System

We have already seen from the remark below equation (22) that the CFLPK γ . is weakly continuous. In this subsection we show, however that the CFLPK is Lipschitz continuous w.r.t. the variational metric (defined below). We write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu - \bar{\nu}\| &= \sup_{|\varphi| \leq 1} |\nu(\varphi) - \bar{\nu}(\varphi)| \\ &= \sup_{\bar{\varphi}} \frac{|\nu(\bar{\varphi}) - \bar{\nu}(\bar{\varphi})|}{\delta(\bar{\varphi})} \quad \text{where} \quad \delta(\bar{\varphi}) = \sup_{\sigma_i \neq \bar{\sigma}_i} |\bar{\varphi}(\sigma_i) - \bar{\varphi}(\bar{\sigma}_i)|, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

for the variational distance between the probability measures ν and $\bar{\nu}$ where the supremum are respectively taken over all measurable real-valued functions φ with $|\varphi| \leq 1$ and bounded non-constant measurable real-valued functions on S . The variational distance can also be define by the following consideration: The signed measure $\nu - \bar{\nu}$ has respectively $(\nu - \bar{\nu})^+$ and $(\nu - \bar{\nu})^-$ as the positive and negative parts of its Jordan decomposition. But the fact that $(\nu - \bar{\nu})(S) = 0$, implies that $(\nu - \bar{\nu})^+(S) = (\nu - \bar{\nu})^-(S)$ leading to the definition of the variational distance between ν and $\bar{\nu}$ as the one-half of the total variation of $(\nu - \bar{\nu})$, i.e.

$$\|\nu - \bar{\nu}\| = (\nu - \bar{\nu})^+(S) = (\nu - \bar{\nu})^-(S). \quad (54)$$

Before we state our first result in this section let us fix further notations. We set

$$\begin{aligned} C(F, g) &= 2\|\partial^2 F\|_{\max, \infty} \delta(g) \|g\|_{d, 2} \exp\left(\frac{\hat{\delta}(\Phi^{(1)})}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \\ \rho_\alpha(k) &= \sup_{\eta_i \in S'} \inf_{a_i \in S} \left(\int_S d^2(\sigma_i, a_i) k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Theorem 4.1 For any $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ and each pair $\tilde{\nu}_1, \tilde{\nu}_2 \in M_{\nu'}$, the CFLPK satisfies

$$\|\gamma_{\nu'}(\cdot|\tilde{\nu}_1) - \gamma_{\nu'}(\cdot|\tilde{\nu}_2)\| \leq L\|\tilde{\nu}_1 - \tilde{\nu}_2\| \quad \text{where} \quad L = L(F, g, k) = C(F, g)\rho_\alpha(k). \quad (56)$$

The above theorem says that for each $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$, the CFLPK $\gamma_{\nu'}$ is Lipschitz continuous on $M_{\nu'}$ with Lipschitz constant L .

Remark: 1. The quantity $\rho_\alpha(k)$ is the (metric-space version of) standard deviation of the single-site "posterior distribution" $K(d\sigma_i|\eta_i)$, when we take supremum over the possible observations η . So, it describes the worst- η scenario of the typical size of fluctuations in the initial configurations which have led to η . The constant L factorizes into two constants reflecting the idea of "nature $C(F, g)$ versus nurture $\rho_\alpha(k)$ ".

2. Set

$$\rho_\alpha = \inf_{a_i \in S} \left(\int \alpha(d\sigma_i) d^2(\sigma_i, a_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (57)$$

i.e. ρ_α is the metric space version of the standard deviation of α . Then the initial kernel γ_1 for the interactions considered in this section is also Lipschitz continuous, i.e. for any pair $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ we have

$$\|\gamma_1(\cdot|\nu_1) - \gamma_1(\cdot|\nu_2)\| \leq \hat{L}\|\nu_1 - \nu_2\|, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{L} = C(F, g)\rho_\alpha. \quad (58)$$

$\hat{L}$ is the "Dobrushin's constant" for the initial mean-field model.

Proof of Theorem 4.1: Take a measurable map $f : S \times S' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $|f| \leq 1$. Also for any pair $\tilde{\nu}_1, \tilde{\nu}_2 \in M_{\nu'}$ and any $0 \leq s \leq 1$ we define $\tilde{\nu}_s := s\tilde{\nu}_1 + (1-s)\tilde{\nu}_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \gamma_{\nu'}(f|\tilde{\nu}_1) - \gamma_{\nu'}(f|\tilde{\nu}_2) \right| &= \left| \nu'(d\eta_i) \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) f(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \int_0^1 ds \frac{d}{ds} h_{\tilde{\nu}_s}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \right|, \quad \text{where} \\ h_{\tilde{\nu}_s}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) &= \frac{e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}_s, \delta\sigma_i)} k(\sigma_i, \eta_i)}{\int e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}_s, \delta\sigma_i)} k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

We also set $\lambda_s[\eta_i](d\sigma_i) = h_{\tilde{\nu}_s}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i)$. Now using the form of the interactions considered in this section, it is not hard to deduce that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}_s, \delta\sigma_i) = \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{u=1}^l F_{ju}(m(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}_s)) g_j(\sigma_i) \int \pi_1(\tilde{\nu}_1 - \tilde{\nu}_2)(d\bar{\sigma}_i) g_u(\bar{\sigma}_i). \quad (60)$$

This and further computations yields the following expression for $\frac{d}{ds} h_{\tilde{\nu}_s}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} h_{\tilde{\nu}_s}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) &= - \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{u=1}^l F_{ju}(m(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}_s)) \psi_u h_{\tilde{\nu}_s}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \left(g_j(\sigma_i) - \lambda_s[\eta_i](g_j) \right), \quad \text{where} \\ \psi_u &= \int \pi_1(\tilde{\nu}_1 - \tilde{\nu}_2)(d\bar{\sigma}_i) g_u(\bar{\sigma}_i). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Observe from (53) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_u| &= \delta(g_u) \frac{\left| \int \nu'(d\eta_i) \int (\tilde{\nu}_1(d\sigma_i|\eta_i) - \tilde{\nu}_2(d\sigma_i|\eta_i)) g_u(\sigma_i) \right|}{\delta(g_u)} \\ &\leq \delta(g_u) \|\tilde{\nu}_1 - \tilde{\nu}_2\|. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Putting all these together we arrive at

$$\left| \gamma_{\nu'}(f|\tilde{\nu}_1) - \gamma_{\nu'}(f|\tilde{\nu}_2) \right| \leq \|\tilde{\nu}_1 - \tilde{\nu}_2\| \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{u=1}^l \delta(g_u) \int_0^1 ds |F_{ju}(m(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}_s))| \int \nu'(d\eta_i) \varphi(s, \eta_i),$$

where

$$\varphi(s, \eta_i) = \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) h_{\tilde{\nu}_s}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) |g_j(\sigma_i) - \lambda_s[\eta_i](g_j)|. \quad (63)$$

By adding and subtracting $g_j(a_i)$ (for any arbitrary $a_i \in S$) from the term $g_j(\sigma_i) - \lambda_s[\eta_i](g_j)$ in the definition of $\varphi(s, \eta_i)$ and applying the triangle inequality we arrive at the following:

$$\varphi(s, \eta_i) \leq 2 \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) h_{\tilde{\nu}_s}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) |g_j(\sigma_i) - g_j(a_i)|. \quad (64)$$

Further, it follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$\int \alpha(d\sigma_i) h_{\tilde{\nu}_s}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) |g_j(\sigma_i) - g_j(a_i)| \leq \left(\int \alpha(d\sigma_i) h_{\tilde{\nu}_s}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) (g_j(\sigma_i) - g_j(a_i))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (65)$$

Now by replacing $|F_{ju}(m(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}_s))|$ with $\|\partial^2 F\|_{\max, \infty}$ in (63) and using the fact the square root function is concave we obtain

$$\left| \gamma_{\nu'}(f|\tilde{\nu}_1) - \gamma_{\nu'}(f|\tilde{\nu}_2) \right| \leq 2 \|\tilde{\nu}_1 - \tilde{\nu}_2\| \delta(g) \|\partial^2 F\|_{\max, \infty} \int_0^1 ds \int \nu'(d\eta_i) \chi(s, \eta_i, a_i) \quad \text{where} \\ \chi(s, \eta_i, a_i) = \left(\int \alpha(d\sigma_i) h_{\tilde{\nu}_s}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \sum_{j=1}^l (g_j(\sigma_i) - g_j(a_i))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (66)$$

Note further that the a_i appearing in χ is chosen independent of all the parameters in the model, so taking the infimum over a_i will have no influence on our estimates. In view of this observation, replacing $\chi(s, \eta_i, a_i)$ with $\inf_{a_i \in S} \chi(s, \eta_i, a_i)$ will have no effect on the inequality in (66). Furthermore, it follows from the Lipschitz property of g and the fact that $h_{\tilde{\nu}_s}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \leq e^{\hat{\delta}(\Phi^{(1)})} k(\sigma_i, \eta_i)$ that

$$\inf_{a_i \in S} \chi(s, \eta_i, a_i) \leq \|g\|_{d,2} e^{\frac{\hat{\delta}(\Phi^{(1)})}{2}} \sup_{\eta_i \in S'} \inf_{a_i \in S} \left(\int \alpha(d\sigma_i) k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) d^2(\sigma_i, a_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (67)$$

Putting the bound in (67) into the bound in (66) yields the desired result. $\square$

Observe from Theorem 4.1 that if the constant $L < 1$ then $\gamma_{\nu'}$ defines a contraction map from $M_{\nu'}$ to itself. This is because the variational distance turns the set $M_{\nu'} = \pi_2^{-1}(\{\nu'\})$ into a complete metric space by continuity of the map π_2 under the variational topology. Thus the CFLPK admits a unique consistent probability measure and consequently the existence of a unique global minimizer for J , since the minimizers of J are contained in the set of consistent probability measures for the CFLPK. This then implies that the transformed system is Gibbs.

The next item on our list of tasks is the investigation of how (in the regime $L < 1$) the unique consistent probability measure $\tilde{\nu}^*$ for $\gamma_{\nu'}$ behaves w.r.t. $\nu' \in \mathcal{P}(S')$. Indeed we show in the proposition below that $\tilde{\nu}^*$ depends continuously on ν' .

Proposition 4.2 Suppose the constant $L < 1$, then under the variational metric the unique consistent probability measure $\tilde{\nu}^*$ for $\gamma_{\nu'}$ is Lipschitz continuous w.r.t. ν' and has the Lipschitz norm $L_1 = 4L$.

Remark: The constant L here is comparable with the uniform bound on the Dobrushin constant $c'[\eta]$ for the restricted constrained first layer model considered in [24]. Due to this, it is of interest to obtain the Lipschitz constant with the factor $\frac{1}{1-L}$ reminiscent to the upper bound on the row sums of the Dobrushin matrix D . Thus for $L < 1$ we can also have the constant $\bar{L}_1$ given by

$$\bar{L}_1 = \frac{L_1}{1-L}, \quad \text{with } L_1 < \bar{L}_1. \quad (68)$$

Proof of Proposition 4.2: Let $\nu'_1, \nu'_2 \in \mathcal{P}(S')$, then the assertion of the proposition follows by showing that,

$$\|\gamma_{\nu'_1} - \gamma_{\nu'_2}\| \leq L_1 \|\nu'_1 - \nu'_2\|, \quad (69)$$

since if $\tilde{\nu}_i^*$ is the unique consistent probability measure for $\gamma_{\nu'_i}$, then $\tilde{\nu}_i^* = \gamma_{\nu'_i}(\cdot | \tilde{\nu}_i^*)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Observe for any measurable function on $S \times S'$ with $|f| \leq 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \gamma_{\nu'_1}(f | \tilde{\nu}_1^*) - \gamma_{\nu'_2}(f | \tilde{\nu}_2^*) \right| &= \left| \int (\nu'_1 - \nu'_2)(d\eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) f(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \left(h_{\tilde{\nu}_1^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) - h_{\tilde{\nu}_2^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int (\nu'_1 - \nu'_2)^+(d\eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) f(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \left(h_{\tilde{\nu}_1^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) - h_{\tilde{\nu}_2^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int (\nu'_1 - \nu'_2)^-(d\eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) f(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \left(h_{\tilde{\nu}_1^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) - h_{\tilde{\nu}_2^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \right) \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where $(\nu'_1 - \nu'_2)^+$ and $(\nu'_1 - \nu'_2)^-$ are respectively the positive and the negative parts of the Jordan decomposition of the signed measure $\nu'_1 - \nu'_2$ and $h_{\tilde{\nu}}$ is as given in (59). It follows from the definition of the variational distance between two probability measures that

$$\left| \gamma_{\nu'_1}(f | \tilde{\nu}_1^*) - \gamma_{\nu'_2}(f | \tilde{\nu}_2^*) \right| \leq 2 \|\nu'_1 - \nu'_2\| \sup_{\eta_i \in S'} \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) \left| h_{\tilde{\nu}_1^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) - h_{\tilde{\nu}_2^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \right|, \quad (71)$$

since we have chosen f to be such that $|f| \leq 1$. Now we proceed by setting $\tilde{\nu}_s^* = s\tilde{\nu}_1^* + (1-s)\tilde{\nu}_2^*$ with $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) \left| h_{\tilde{\nu}_1^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) - h_{\tilde{\nu}_2^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \right| &= \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) \left| \int_0^1 ds \frac{d}{ds} h_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 ds \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) \left| \frac{d}{ds} h_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

But we know from the proof of Theorem 4.1 that

$$\int_0^1 ds \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) \left| \frac{d}{ds} h_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \right| \leq C(F, g) \|\tilde{\nu}_1^* - \tilde{\nu}_2^*\| \inf_{a_i \in S} \left(\int \alpha(d\sigma_i) k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) d^2(\sigma_i, a_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (73)$$

Now by replacing $\|\tilde{\nu}_1^* - \tilde{\nu}_2^*\|$ by 2 and substituting the bound in (73) into the inequality in (71) conclude the proof of the proposition.

□

Having disposed of the continuity estimates for the CFLPK, we now turn our attention to study the corresponding continuity estimates for the single-site kernel γ'_1 for the transformed system in the regime where $L < 1$. Since in this regime the CFLPK has a unique consistent probability measure and consequently providing a sufficient condition for the function J to have a unique global minimizer as is required by Theorem 3.11.

4.2 Continuity estimates for γ'_1

A sufficient condition given in Theorem 3.11 for the existence and continuity of the finite-volume kernels γ'_n for the transformed system is the unicity of the global minimizer of the function $J_{\nu'}$. This sufficient condition holds if the CFLPK has a unique consistent probability. Our main result in this subsection is the following theorem concerning the continuity estimate for γ'_1 :

Theorem 4.3 *Suppose that $L < 1$, then under the variational metric on $\mathcal{P}(S')$ the single-site kernel $\gamma'_1(\cdot|\nu')$ is Lipschitz continuous w.r.t. ν' with Lipschitz constant L_2 given by*

$$L_2 = L_1 \hat{L}. \quad (74)$$

Remark: 1. The Lipschitz constant L_2 for the transformed system factorizes into the product of the Lipschitz constants L and $\hat{L}$ respectively for the CFLPK γ and the initial kernel γ .

2. Observe from the remark below Proposition 4.2 that we can also have the Lipschitz constant $\bar{L}_2$ given by

$$\bar{L}_2 = \bar{L}_1 \hat{L} = \frac{4L\hat{L}}{1-L} \quad \text{with} \quad L_2 < \bar{L}_2. \quad (75)$$

Proof of Theorem 4.3: As usual let us take $\tilde{\nu}_1^*$ and $\tilde{\nu}_2^*$ as the unique consistent probability measures for the CFLPK corresponding to ν'_1 and ν'_2 respectively. Again set $\tilde{\nu}_s^* = s\tilde{\nu}_1^* + (1-s)\tilde{\nu}_2^*$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$. It follows from (45) after taking a measurable map $f : S' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $|f| \leq 1$ and setting

$$\hat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) = \frac{k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}_s^*, \delta_{\sigma_i})}}{\int e^{-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}_s^*, \delta_{\hat{\sigma}_i})} \alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i)} \quad (76)$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'_1(f|\nu'_1) - \gamma'_1(f|\nu'_2) &= \int \alpha'(d\eta_i) f(\eta_i) \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) \int_0^1 ds \frac{d}{ds} \hat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \quad \text{with} \\ \frac{d}{ds} \hat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) &= \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{u=1}^l F_{ju}(m(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}_s^*)) \int \pi_1(\tilde{\nu}_1^* - \tilde{\nu}_2^*)(d\bar{\sigma}_i) g_u(\bar{\sigma}_i) \hat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) (g_j(\sigma_i) - \vartheta_s(g_j)) \\ &\text{and where} \quad \vartheta_s(g_j) = \int \alpha'(d\eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) \hat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) g_j(\sigma_i). \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

Therefore it follows from our previous considerations that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \gamma'_1(f|\nu'_1) - \gamma'_1(f|\nu'_2) \right| &\leq \|\partial^2 F\|_{\max, \infty} \delta(g) \|\tilde{\nu}_1^* - \tilde{\nu}_2^*\| \times \\ &\quad \sum_{j=1}^l \int_0^1 ds \int \alpha'(d\eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) \hat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \left| g_j(\sigma_i) - \vartheta_s(g_j) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

By adding and subtracting $g_j(a_i)$ from the term $g_j(\sigma_i) - \vartheta_s(g_j)$ and applying the triangle inequality we obtain

$$\int \alpha'(d\eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) \hat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \left| g_j(\sigma_i) - \vartheta_s(g_j) \right| \leq 2 \int \alpha'(d\eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) \hat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \left| g_j(\sigma_i) - g_j(a_i) \right| \quad (79)$$

Now it follows from Hölder's inequality and the facts that (1) the square root function is concave (2) $\hat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \leq e^{\hat{\delta}(\Phi^{(1)})} k(\sigma_i, \eta_i)$ and (3) the Lipschitz property of g_j that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^l \int_0^1 ds \int \alpha'(d\eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) \hat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \left| g_j(\sigma_i) - \vartheta_s(g_j) \right| \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^1 ds \inf_{a_i \in S} \sum_{j=1}^l \left(\int \alpha'(d\eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) \hat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) (g_j(\sigma_i) - g_j(a_i))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^1 ds \inf_{a_i \in S} \left(\int \alpha'(d\eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) \hat{h}_{\tilde{\nu}_s^*}(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \sum_{j=1}^l (g_j(\sigma_i) - g_j(a_i))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 2 \|g\|_{d,2} e^{\frac{\hat{\delta}(\Phi^{(1)})}{2}} \inf_{a_i \in S} \left(\int \alpha(d\sigma_i) d^2(\sigma_i, a_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

Finally by putting this bound in (80) into (78) and noting from Proposition 4.2 that $\|\tilde{\nu}_1^* - \tilde{\nu}_2^*\| \leq L_1 \|\nu'_1 - \nu'_2\|$ follows the proof. $\square$

5 Examples

We now present two examples for the class of models discussed in the above section. In the first example we consider specific forms of the functions F and g , and a specific form of the joint a priori measure K . The second example is about general forms of F and g , and a specific form of the joint a priori measure K .

5.1 Short time Gibbsianness of rotator mean-field models under diffusive time evolution

The first example we consider is the Curie-Weiss rotator model under site-wise independent diffusive time-evolution. Here the single-site spin space for both the initial and the transformed systems are the same, i.e. $S = S' = S^{q-1}$, where S^{q-1} is the sphere in the q -dimensional Euclidean space with $q \geq 2$. The interaction for the initial system is given by

$$\Phi(\nu) = F(\nu[\sigma_i^1], \dots, \nu[\sigma_i^q]) = -\frac{\beta \sum_{j=1}^q \nu[\sigma_i^j]^2}{2}, \quad (81)$$

where $g_j(\sigma_i) = \sigma_i^j$ is the j th coordinate of the point $\sigma_i \in S^{q-1}$ and $l = q$.

Next let K be given by

$$K(d\sigma_i, d\eta_i) = K_t(d\sigma_i, d\eta_i) = k_t(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \alpha_0(d\sigma_i) \alpha_0(d\eta_i), \quad (82)$$

where α_0 is the equidistribution on S^{q-1} and k_t is the heat kernel on the sphere, i.e.

$$(e^{\Delta t} \varphi)(\eta_i) = \int \alpha_0(d\sigma_i) k_t(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \varphi(\sigma_i), \quad (83)$$

where Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere and φ is any test function. k_t is also called the *Gauss-Weierstrass kernel*. For more background on the heat-kernel on Riemannian manifolds, see the introduction of [1].

Lemma 5.1 *Assuming the above set-up the Lipschitz constant L for the CFLPK is given by*

$$L = L(q, \beta, t) = 4\sqrt{2}q\beta e^\beta \left(1 - e^{-(q-1)t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (84)$$

Proof Lemma 5.1: The proof follows by just evaluating the terms appearing in $C(F, g)$ and $\rho_\alpha(k)$. First observe that for the interaction considered in this subsection $\|\partial^2 F\|_{\max, \infty} = \beta$, $\delta(g) = 2q$, $\|g\|_{d,2} = 1$ since $d(\sigma_i, \bar{\sigma}_i) = \|g(\sigma_i) - g(\bar{\sigma}_i)\|_2$ and $\hat{\delta}(\Phi^{(1)}) = 2\beta$. So these give rise to

$$C(F, g) = C(q, \beta) = 4q\beta e^\beta. \quad (85)$$

To obtain $\rho_\alpha(k)$ we argue as follows: Denote by $u(t)$ the q -th component of a diffusion $\sigma_i(t)$ on the sphere started at $u(0) = 1$ (in the "north-pole") and $\mathbb{E}$ the expectation w.r.t. the corresponding diffusion. By reversibility we now choose $a_i = \eta_i$ such that a_i is the north pole. Then it is not hard to see that

$$d^2(\sigma_i(t), a_i) = 2(1 - u(t)).$$

This gives rise to

$$\int \alpha_0(d\sigma) k_t(\sigma_i(t), a_i) d^2(\sigma_i(t), a_i) = 2(1 - \mathbb{E}u(t)). \quad (86)$$

It follows from the above and the rotation invariance of the diffusion on the sphere that to compute $\rho_\alpha(k)$ we only need the q th component of the diffusion which according to the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere has generator of the form

$$(1 - u^2) \left(\frac{d}{du} \right)^2 - (q-1)u \frac{d}{du}. \quad (87)$$

This generates the equation $\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}u_t = -(q-1)\mathbb{E}u_t$. Solving this equation with the initial condition $u(t=0) = 1$ yields

$$\mathbb{E}u(t) = e^{-(q-1)t}, \quad (88)$$

which leads to the desired expression for $\rho_\alpha(k)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\alpha(k) &= \sqrt{2} \left(1 - e^{-(q-1)t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and therefore} \\ L &= 4\sqrt{2}q\beta e^\beta \left(1 - e^{-(q-1)t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

□

Remark: 1. The smallness of L for this example emanates from at least two sources, namely; small values of t and β . That is if β is small enough the system will be Gibbs at all times. But if we start with large β then we hope to preserve Gibbsianness at only small values of t .

2. We also have for any arbitrary chosen a_i that

$$\int_S d^2(\sigma_i, a_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) = \int 2(1 - \sigma \cdot a) \alpha_o(d\sigma) = 2. \quad (90)$$

Thus we have for this example $\rho_\alpha = \sqrt{2}$.

5.2 Local approximation and preservation of Gibbsianness

As our second example we start from an initial compact Polish space S endowed with a metric d and an a priori measure α . We consider general F and g defining the initial Hamiltonian.

We partition the initial space S into finitely or countably infinitely many disjoint Borel sets with non-zero α measure indexed by the elements in S' , i.e.

$$S = \bigcup_{\eta_i \in S'} S_{\eta_i}, \quad \text{with } \alpha(S_{\eta_i}) > 0 \quad \text{for all } \eta_i \in S'. \quad (91)$$

We then consider the deterministic map $T : S \rightarrow S'$, such that $T(\sigma_i) = \eta_i$ for all $\sigma_i \in S_{\eta_i}$. That is, every point is mapped to the label of the class it belongs to. If we start with a finite initial space, this transformation is the so-called fuzzy-map which, when starting from an initial Potts model, was studied in [20]. In the present generality this example was studied in [24], and we want to see here what the mean-field estimates of the present paper provide. Let us formulate the form of the Lipschitz constant L for the CFLPK resulting from the local approximations in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2 *Assume the set-up above, then the Lipschitz constant L is given by*

$$L = L(F, g, T) = C(F, g) \sup_{\eta_i \in S'} \alpha(S_{\eta_i})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \inf_{a_i \in S_{\eta_i}} \left(\int \alpha|_{S_{\eta_i}}(d\sigma_i) d^2(\sigma_i, a_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (92)$$

The proof of the above Lemma follows straight away from the definition of the constant L and observing that $\alpha(d\sigma_i)k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) = \frac{\alpha|_{S_{\eta_i}}(d\sigma_i)}{\alpha(S_{\eta_i})}$.

Once again, the constant L will be small either if the initial interaction is weak enough or the local approximation is fine enough. For $L < 1$, by the general Theorem 4.3, this implies Gibbsianness and continuity estimates of the form (74).

6 Appendix

6.1 Proof of Theorem 3.3

The assertion that $J_{\nu'}$ attains its infimum on $M_{\nu'}$ trivially follows from the lower semi-continuity of $J_{\nu'}$ and compactness of $M_{\nu'}$. Now to proceed with the rest of

the proof we take any minimizer $\tilde{\nu}^*$ of $J_{\nu'}$ which has the representation $\tilde{\nu}^*(d\xi_i) = \nu'(d\eta_i)\alpha(d\sigma_i)f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i)$. Then it remains to show that $f_{\nu'}(1)$ is $\alpha \otimes \nu'$ -a.s. strictly positive and (2) takes the form (20) $\alpha \otimes \nu'$ -a.s..

(1) We now proceed to show the almost sure strict positivity of the minimizing conditional α -density $f_{\nu'}$. For each $\eta_i \in S'$ we set $A_{\eta_i} = \{\sigma_i \in S : f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) = 0\}$ and denote B by the set of η_i 's for which $\alpha(A_{\eta_i}) > 0$. The proof consists of establishing a contradiction that $\tilde{\nu}^*$ is not a minimizer of $J_{\nu'}$ whenever B has a positive ν' measure. This is an adaptation of arguments found in [2] and references therein modified to suit our case.

To be precise let $b : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly positive measurable map with $b \leq 1$ and for each $\eta_i \in B$ we define a bounded measurable map $g(\cdot|\eta_i) : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(\sigma_i|\eta_i) = \frac{\left(1_{A_{\eta_i}^c} f_{\nu'}(\cdot|\eta_i)\right)(\sigma_i) + \left(1_{A_{\eta_i}} b\right)(\sigma_i)}{1 + \int_{A_{\eta_i}} b(\hat{\sigma}_i)\alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i)}. \quad (93)$$

Now set $u_{\eta_i} = 1 + \int_{A_{\eta_i}} b(\hat{\sigma}_i)\alpha(d\hat{\sigma}_i)$ and further define for each $\eta_i \in S'$

$$p_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, \eta_i) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon g(\cdot|\eta_i) + (1 - \varepsilon)f_{\nu'}(\cdot|\eta_i) = \frac{\varepsilon}{u_{\eta_i}} 1_{A_{\eta_i}} b + C_{\varepsilon, \eta_i} 1_{A_{\eta_i}^c} f_{\nu'}(\cdot|\eta_i) & \text{if } \eta_i \in B \\ f_{\nu'}(\cdot|\eta_i) & \text{if } \eta_i \in B^c \end{cases}, \text{ where} \\ C_{\varepsilon, \eta_i} = \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{u_{\eta_i}} - 1 \right) + 1 \quad (94)$$

and $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. It is easy to check that $1 \leq u_{\eta_i} \leq 2$, which implies that C_{ε, η_i} as well as $\log C_{\varepsilon, \eta_i}$ is uniformly bounded. Let us set $\tilde{\nu}_{\varepsilon}^*(d\xi_i) = \nu'(d\eta_i)\alpha(\sigma_i)p_{\varepsilon}(\sigma_i, \eta_i)$ and observe from the above that we can write the relative entropy of $\tilde{\nu}_{\varepsilon}^*$ w.r.t. $\alpha \otimes \nu'$ as;

$$\begin{aligned} S(\tilde{\nu}_{\varepsilon}^*|\alpha \otimes \nu') &= \int_{B^c} \nu'(d\eta_i) S(\tilde{\nu}^*(\cdot|\eta_i)|\alpha) + \int_B \nu'(d\eta_i) \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{u_{\eta_i}} \int_{A_{\eta_i}} \alpha(d\sigma_i) b(\sigma_i) \log b(\sigma_i) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{u_{\eta_i}} \log \frac{\varepsilon}{u_{\eta_i}} \int_{A_{\eta_i}} \alpha(d\sigma_i) b(\sigma_i) + C_{\varepsilon, \eta_i} \int_{A_{\eta_i}^c} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) \log f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) \\ &\quad \left. + C_{\varepsilon, \eta_i} \log C_{\varepsilon, \eta_i} \int_{A_{\eta_i}^c} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

Now we define a function $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(\varepsilon) = J_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}_{\varepsilon}^*) = S(\tilde{\nu}_{\varepsilon}^*|\alpha \otimes \nu') + \Phi(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}_{\varepsilon}^*) - \tilde{\nu}_{\varepsilon}^*[\log k]. \quad (96)$$

It follows from the uniform boundedness of C_{ε, η_i} and $\log C_{\varepsilon, \eta_i}$, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and the continuity property of $\Phi^{(1)}$ that h is continuously differentiable on $(0, 1)$. Observe that $h(0) = J_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}^*)$ and one would expect h to be decreasing as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, i.e. $h(\varepsilon) - h(0) > 0$ for ε close to zero. But we will show that the converse of the above holds if B has positive ν' measure. More precisely, differentiating h we obtain

for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} h'(\varepsilon) = & \int_B \nu'(d\eta_i) \left\{ \frac{1}{u_{\eta_i}} \log \frac{\varepsilon}{u_{\eta_i}} \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) b(\sigma_i) + \left(\frac{1}{u_{\eta_i}} - 1 \right) \log C_{\varepsilon, \eta_i} \int_{A_{\eta_i}^c} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i | \eta_i) \right\} \\ & + \int_B \nu'(d\eta_i) \int_{A_{\eta_i}} \alpha(d\sigma_i) (g(\sigma_i | \eta_i) - f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i | \eta_i)) \Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*, \delta_{\sigma_i}) + C(B, b, f_{\nu'}), \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

where $C(B, b, f_{\nu'})$ is a constant which depends on B , b and $f_{\nu'}$ but independent of ε . Assuming $\nu'(B) > 0$ then the limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} h'(\varepsilon) = -\infty$$

since the term

$$\int_B \nu'(d\eta_i) \frac{1}{u_{\eta_i}} \log \frac{\varepsilon}{u_{\eta_i}} \int_{A_{\eta_i}} \alpha(d\sigma_i) b(\sigma_i) \quad (98)$$

goes to negative infinity while the rest remains bounded. This implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{h(\varepsilon) - h(0)}{\varepsilon} = -\infty \quad (99)$$

giving rise to a contradiction since $\tilde{\nu}^*$ is a minimizer, hence the assumption that $\nu'(B) > 0$ is false. This concludes the proof of almost sure strict positivity of $f_{\nu'}$.

(2) Next we prove that the conditional α -density of any minimizer of $J_{\nu'}$ must satisfy the constrained mean-field equation (20). Let $f_{\nu'}(\cdot | \cdot)$ be a conditional α -density of a minimizer $\tilde{\nu}^*$ of $J_{\nu'}$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\eta_i} = & \Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}^*, \delta_{\sigma_i}) - \log k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) + \log f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i | \eta_i) \\ & + \log \int \exp \left(-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}^*, \delta_{\hat{\sigma}_i}) \right) k(\hat{\sigma}_i, \eta_i) \alpha(\hat{\sigma}_i). \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

We are now left to show that $\alpha(Y_{\eta_i} = 0) = 1$ for ν' almost all $\eta_i \in S'$.

The idea of the proof is again to assume the contrary, and arrive at the contradiction that a suitable perturbation of the conditional α -density $f_{\nu'}$ would have a lower value of $J_{\nu'}$. That is we assume $\alpha(Y_{\eta_i} \neq 0) > 0$ on some subset B of S' with positive ν' measure. In a first step this implies that for any $\eta_i \in B$ both inequalities $\alpha(Y_{\eta_i} \geq 0) > 0$ and $\alpha(Y_{\eta_i} < 0) > 0$ must be the case. Indeed, the assumption that e.g. the second inequality is not true leads to a contradiction. To see this define for each $\eta_i \in B$ and $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\eta_i}^\delta = & \left\{ \sigma_i \in S : \psi_{\nu'}(\sigma_i | \eta_i) \geq \delta \right\}, \quad \text{with} \\ \psi_{\nu'}(\sigma_i | \eta_i) = & f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i | \eta_i) - \frac{\exp \left(-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}^*, \delta_{\sigma_i}) \right) k(\sigma_i, \eta_i)}{\int \exp \left(-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}^*, \delta_{\hat{\sigma}_i}) \right) k(\hat{\sigma}_i, \eta_i) \alpha(\hat{\sigma}_i)} \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

so that we would have $\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \alpha(A_{\eta_i}^\delta) = 1$.

Taking the α integral of $\psi_{\nu'}(\sigma_i | \eta_i)$ yields then the contradiction

$$0 = \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) \psi_{\nu'}(\sigma_i | \eta_i) \geq \int_{A_{\eta_i}^\delta} \alpha(d\sigma_i) \psi_{\nu'}(\sigma_i | \eta_i) \geq \delta \alpha(A_{\eta_i}^\delta) > 0 \quad (102)$$

for δ sufficiently small. This and the $\alpha \otimes \nu'$ -a.s. strict positivity of the minimizing conditional α -density $f_{\nu'}$ imply that $\alpha(1_{\{Y_{\eta_i} < 0\}} f_{\nu'}(\cdot|\eta_i)) > 0$ and $\alpha(1_{\{Y_{\eta_i} \geq 0\}} f_{\nu'}(\cdot|\eta_i)) > 0$. Now we set for each $\eta_i \in S'$

$$C_{\eta_i} = \frac{\alpha(1_{\{Y_{\eta_i} \geq 0\}} f_{\nu'}(\cdot|\eta_i))}{\alpha(1_{\{Y_{\eta_i} < 0\}} f_{\nu'}(\cdot|\eta_i))} \quad (103)$$

and define for each positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set

$$B_n := \left\{ \eta_i : C_{\eta_i} \in (n-1, n] \right\}. \quad (104)$$

Observe that the $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a partition of the set $B \subset S'$, i.e. $B = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} B_n$ and the B_n 's are pair-wise disjoint Borel subsets of S' . We now consider a perturbation of the minimizing conditional α -density $f_{\nu'}(\cdot|\eta_i)$ whose form will be dependent on the choice of the transformed configuration η_i . More precisely, for each $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ we consider the perturbed conditional α -density $p_\varepsilon(\sigma_i|\eta_i)$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned} p_\varepsilon(\cdot|\eta_i) &= 1_{B^c} f_{\nu'}(\cdot|\eta_i) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{B_n}(\eta_i) f_{\varepsilon,n}(\cdot|\eta_i), \quad \text{where} \\ f_{\varepsilon,n}(\cdot|\eta_i) &= \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n}\right) 1_{\{Y_{\eta_i} \geq 0\}} f_{\nu'}(\cdot|\eta_i) + \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{n} C_{\eta_i}\right) 1_{\{Y_{\eta_i} < 0\}} f_{\nu'}(\cdot|\eta_i). \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Observe that for each $\eta_i \in S'$ $p_\varepsilon(\cdot|\eta_i)$ is a probability density w.r.t. α and $p_{\varepsilon=0} = f_{\nu'}$.

As we did in the proof of part one we introduce a function $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and show that φ is decreasing for arguments very close to zero whenever $\nu'(B) > 0$. But this would then imply that $f_{\nu'}$ is not a conditional α -density for a minimizer of $J_{\nu'}$. Hence for the converse to be true $\nu'(B) = 0$, which will then concludes our proof. To formulate this formally we set $\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*(d\xi_i) = \nu'(d\eta_i) \alpha(d\sigma_i) p_\varepsilon(\sigma_i|\eta_i)$ and define

$$\varphi(\varepsilon) := J_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*) = S(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*|\alpha \otimes \nu') + \Phi(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*) - \tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*[\log k].$$

Now note that the relative entropy takes the form

$$S(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*|\alpha \otimes \nu') = \int_{B^c} \nu'(d\eta_i) S(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*(\cdot|\eta_i)|\alpha) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) S(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*(\cdot|\eta_i)|\alpha). \quad (106)$$

Note that this is a bounded quantity for each $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, since in particular

$$S(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*|\alpha \otimes \nu') \leq 4S(\tilde{\nu}^*|\alpha \otimes \nu') + 2 \log 2.$$

Then by the Lebesgue's bounded convergence theorem and the properties of the interaction Φ imply that φ is differentiable in the open interval $(0, 1)$. However, by the convexity of S and once gain the properties of Φ one can deduce that

$$\varphi'_+(0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(\varepsilon) - \varphi(0)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} J_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*)|_{\varepsilon=0}.$$

Thus the rest of the proof then becomes showing that $\varphi'_+(0)$ has negative sign whenever $\nu'(B) > 0$. Let us now evaluate this quantity. Note that because of the form of

the perturbed conditional α -density the part of $S(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*|\alpha \otimes \nu')$ that will play a role in determining $\varphi'_+(0)$ is the part involving the B_n 's. For each n we set

$$S_n(\varepsilon) = \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) S(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*(\cdot|\eta_i)|\alpha) \quad (107)$$

and evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} S_n(\varepsilon) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) C_{\eta_i} \int_{Y_{\eta_i} < 0} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) \log f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) \int_{Y_{\eta_i} \geq 0} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) \log f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

Next we also evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} (\Phi(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*) - \tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*[\log k]) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ - \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) \int_{Y_{\eta_i} \geq 0} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) (\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}^*, \delta_{\sigma_i}) - \log k(\sigma_i, \eta_i)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) C_{\eta_i} \int_{Y_{\eta_i} < 0} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) (\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}^*, \delta_{\sigma_i}) - \log k(\sigma_i, \eta_i)) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

It follows from the above considerations and that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} (S(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*|\alpha \otimes \nu') + \Phi(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*) - \tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*[\log k]) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ - \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) \int_{Y_{\eta_i} \geq 0} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) \left(\log f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) + \Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}^*, \delta_{\sigma_i}) - \log k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) C_{\eta_i} \int_{Y_{\eta_i} < 0} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) \left(\log f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) + \Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}^*, \delta_{\sigma_i}) - \log k(\sigma_i, \eta_i) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

Furthermore, set

$$r(\eta_i) = \log \int \alpha(d\sigma_i) \exp \left(-\Phi^{(1)}(\pi_1 \tilde{\nu}^*, \delta_{\sigma_i}) \right) k(\sigma_i, \eta_i).$$

Finally, it follows from (100) and (103) after adding and subtracting $r(\eta_i)$ from the integrands of the α -integrals in (110) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} (S(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*|\alpha \otimes \nu') + \Phi(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*) - \tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*[\log k]) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) r(\eta_i) \int_{Y_{\eta_i} \geq 0} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) - \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) \int_{Y_{\eta_i} \geq 0} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) Y_{\eta_i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) C_{\eta_i} r(\eta_i) \int_{Y_{\eta_i} < 0} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) + \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) C_{\eta_i} \int_{Y_{\eta_i} < 0} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) Y_{\eta_i} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ - \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) \int_{Y_{\eta_i} \geq 0} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) Y_{\eta_i} + \int_{B_n} \nu'(d\eta_i) C_{\eta_i} \int_{Y_{\eta_i} < 0} \alpha(d\sigma_i) f_{\nu'}(\sigma_i|\eta_i) Y_{\eta_i} \right\} \\ &< 0. \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

Therefore we have succeeded in showing that

$$\varphi'_+(0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(\varepsilon) - \varphi(0)}{\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{J_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon^*) - J_{\nu'}(\tilde{\nu}^*)}{\varepsilon} < 0$$

whenever $\nu'(B) > 0$, contradicting the initial claim that $\tilde{\nu}^*$ is a minimizer of $J_{\nu'}$. Hence for $\tilde{\nu}^*$ to be a minimizer $\nu'(B) = 0$. This concludes proof of the claim that the conditional α -densities $f_{\nu'}$ of the minimizers of $J_{\nu'}$ satisfy the constrained mean-field equation (20) $\alpha \otimes \nu'$ -a.s..

□

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Aernout van Enter, Roberto Fernández, Frank Redig and Wioletta Ruszel for interesting discussions.

References

- [1] P. Auscher, T. Coulhon, X.T. Duong, S. Hofmann: Riesz transform on manifolds and heat kernel regularity. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4)* 37, 911-957 (2004)
- [2] M. van den Berg, T.C. Dolars, J.T. Lewis, J.V. Pulè: A perturbed mean field model of an interacting boson gas and the large deviation principle, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 127, 41-69 (1990)
- [3] F. Comets: Large deviation estimates for a conditional probability distribution. Applications to random interaction Gibbs measures. *Prob. Theory Relat. Fields* 80, 407-432 (1989)
- [4] D. Dereudre, S. Roelly: Propagation of Gibbsianness for infinite-dimensional gradient Brownian diffusions, *J. Stat. Phys.* 121, 511-551 (2005)
- [5] J.-D. Deuschel: Infinite-dimensional diffusion processes as Gibbs measures on $C[0, 1]^{Z^d}$, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 76, 325-340 (1987)
- [6] R.L. Dobrushin: The description of a random field by means of conditional probabilities and conditions of its regularity, *Theor. Prob. Appl.* 13, 197-224 (1968)
- [7] R.S. Ellis: *Entropy, Large Deviations, and Statistical Mechanics*, Reprint of the 1st ed. Springer-Verlag New York 1985., 2006, XVIII
- [8] A.C.D. van Enter, R. Fernández, A.D. Sokal: Regularity properties and pathologies of position-space renormalization-group transformations: Scope and limitations of Gibbsian theory, *J. Stat. Phys.* 72, 879-1167 (1993)
- [9] A.C.D. van Enter, R. Fernández, F. den Hollander, F. Redig: Possible Loss and recovery of Gibbsianness during the stochastic evolution of Gibbs Measures, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 226, 101-130 (2002)
- [10] A.C.D. van Enter, C. Külske : Two connections between random systems and non-Gibbsian measures, *J. Stat. Phys.* 126, 1007-1024 (2007)

- [11] A.C.D. van Enter, W.M. Ruszel: Gibbsianness vs. Non-Gibbsianness of time-evolved planar rotor models, preprint arXiv:0711.3621
- [12] A.C.D. van Enter, F. Redig, E. Verbitskiy: Gibbsian and non-Gibbsian states at Eurandom, arXiv:0804.4060,, to appear in *Statistica Neerlandica*
- [13] M. Fannes, H. Spohn, and A. Verbeure: Equilibrium states for mean field models, *J. Math. Phys.*, 21 (1980)
- [14] R. Fernández: Gibbsianness and non-Gibbsianness in lattice random fields, *Les Houches*, LXXXIII, (2005)
- [15] R. Fernández, G. Maillard: Construction of a specification from its singleton part. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* 2, 297-315 (2006)
- [16] J. Fröhlich, B. Simon, T. Spencer: Infrared bounds, phase transitions and continuous symmetry breaking. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 50 79-95 (1976)
- [17] H.-O. Georgii :Gibbs measures and phase transitions, volume 9 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter Co., Berlin, 1988. ISBN 0-89925-462-4.
- [18] R. B. Griffiths, P. A. Pearce: Position-Space Renormalization-Group Transformations: Some Proofs and Some Problems *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 41, 917 - 920 (1978)
- [19] R. B. Griffiths, P. A. Pearce: Mathematical properties of position-space renormalization-group transformations, *J. Stat. Phys.*, 499-545 (1979)
- [20] O. Häggström, C. Külske: Gibbs properties of the fuzzy Potts model on trees and in mean field, *Markov Proc.Rel.Fields* 10 No. 3, 477-506 (2004)
- [21] R.B. Israel: Convexity in the theory of lattice gases, *Princeton Series in Physics*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1979
- [22] C. Külske: Non-Gibbsianness and phase transition in random lattice spin models, *Markov. Proc. Rel. Fields* 5, 357-383 (1999)
- [23] C. Külske: Analogues of Non-Gibbsianness in Joint Measures of Disordered Mean Field Models, *J. Stat. Phys.*, 112, 2003
- [24] C. Külske, A.A. Opoku: The Posterior metric and the Goodness of Gibbsianness for transforms of Gibbs measures, preprint arXiv:0711.3764 (2007)
- [25] C. Külske, A. Le Ny: Spin-flip dynamics of the Curie-Weiss model: Loss of Gibbsianness with possibly broken symmetry, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 271, 431-454 (2007)
- [26] C. Külske, F. Redig: Loss without recovery of Gibbsianness during diffusion of continuous spins, *Prob. Theory Relat. Fields* 135, 428-456 (2006)
- [27] A. Le Ny, F. Redig: Short-time conservation of Gibbsianness under local stochastic evolution, *J. Stat. Phys.* Volume 109, 1073-1090 (2002)
- [28] K.R. Parthasarathy: *Probability Measures on Metric Spaces*, Academic Press, 1967
- [29] W. Rudin: *Functional Analysis* 2nd ed., McGraw-Hills, 1991